MONTHLY NOTICES

OF THE

ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY.

VOL. LXXVI. SUPPLEMENTARY NUMBER. No. 9

On Einstein's Theory of Gravitation, and its Astronomical Consequences. By W. de Sitter, Assoc. R.A.S. First Paper.

Contents of First Paper.

1. The four-dimensional system of reference (time-space) and the principle of general relativity.
2. The fundamental tensor g_{ij} and its transformation-formulas.
3. Nature of gravitation.
4. The equations of the geodetic line.
5. Proper-time of a moving particle.
6. First approximation: Newtonian theory.
7. The equations of the gravitational field. Generalised principle of Hamilton.
8. Indeterminateness of these equations: Hilbert's theorem.
9. Examples of transformation of co-ordinates.
10. Gravitational field of the sun: the equations.
11. Integration of the equations for two different systems of co-ordinates.
12. Velocity of light and curvature of rays of light.
13. Frequency of light-vibrations: displacement of spectral lines.
14. Effect of axial rotation of the sun.
15. Motion of an infinitesimal planet: general equations, Kepler's second and third laws.
16. Elliptic motion, with moving perihelion.
17. Method of perturbing forces.
18. Effect of axial rotation of the sun.
19. Comparison with observations.

1. Since Minkowski the conception of space and time as a four-dimensional continuity has been widely accepted. The ideal

put forward by him in his celebrated lecture* of 1908, "that space and time each separately should vanish to shadows, and only a union of the two should preserve reality," has, however, only been completely realised by the latest theory of Einstein,† the "Allgemeine Relativitätstheorie" of 1915, by which, moreover, gravitation is also incorporated in the union.

The points of space occupied by a given material point at successive times form in the four-dimensional time-space a continuity of one dimension, which is called the *world-line* of the point. Also a light-vibration has its world-line, the projection of which on three-dimensional space is a ray of light. Now what we *observe* are always *intersections* of world-lines. Take, *e.g.*, an observation of an occultation of a star by the moon, and let us imagine, to simplify the argument, that the face of the clock is illuminated by the light of the star.‡ Then the world-line of a certain light-vibration starts from a point on the world-line of the star, it then intersects successively the world-line of a point on the moon's edge, that of the clock's hand, and that of a point on the clock's face. The last two intersections may be said to coincide, so that three world-lines have one point in common. About the course of world-lines between the points of intersection we know nothing, and no observation can ever tell us anything.

Now we must necessarily describe the world-lines and their intersections by means of a system of co-ordinates. The laws of nature are also necessarily expressed by means of these co-ordinates. We can imagine two physicists each making a model of

* Raum und Zeit, *Vortrag gehalten auf der* 80^en^ *Versammlung Deutscher Naturforscher und Aerzte*, 1908 Sept. 21. Reprinted in Lorentz-Einstein-Minkowski, *Das Relativitätsprincip*, Teubner, 1913.

† The theory has been gradually developed in several papers, of which the most important are:—

Einstein und Grossmann, "Entwurf einer verallgemeinerten Relativitätstheorie und einer Theorie der Gravitation," *Zeitschr. für Math. u. Physik*, 1914 Jan.

I. Einstein, "Die formale Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie," *Sitzungsber. Berlin*, 1914 Nov., p. 1030.

II. Einstein, "Zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie," *ibid.*, 1915 Nov. 4, p. 778.

III. Einstein, "Erklärung der Perihelbewegung der Merkur aus der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie," *ibid.*, 1915 Nov. 11, p. 831.

IV. Einstein, "Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation," *ibid.*, 1915 Nov. 25, p. 844.

Only in the last paper the theory is given its definitive form. The whole theory is summarised in a paper:—

V. Einstein, "Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie," *Annalen der Physik*, xlix., 1916, p. 769 (also published separately by Barth).

Afterwards Einstein has published:—

VI. Einstein, "Näherungsweise Integration der Feldgleichungen der Gravitation," *Sitzungsber. Berlin*, 1916 June, p. 688.

These papers will be quoted as "Einstein I.," etc.

‡ This example is given by Lorentz in a paper, "On Einstein's Theory of Gravitation, I.," *Academy of Sciences, Amsterdam*, 1916 Feb. This, and other papers by Lorentz, Droste, and de Sitter, have, up to the time of writing, only been published in the Dutch *Verslagen* of the Academy, and have not yet been translated into English.

all world-lines with their coincidences, and the two models must be both correct, and therefore essentially identical, whenever they both represent all intersections in the right order. The course of the world-lines themselves may be entirely different in the two models. These considerations have led Einstein to his postulate of general relativity, which requires the laws of nature to be invariant for *all* transformations of co-ordinates.

2. Let the four co-ordinates be x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4. For the fourth we may choose the time measured in such a unit that the velocity of light in a space, where there is no matter and no gravitation, is unity: or $x_4 = ct$. The other co-ordinates are then pure space-co-ordinates, for which we can, *e.g.*, take ordinary rectangular Cartesian co-ordinates. The four-dimensional distance between two neighbouring points will be called ds. We have generally *

$$(1) \qquad ds^2 = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta g_{\alpha\beta} dx_\alpha dx_\beta,$$

where necessarily $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha}$. There are thus ten coefficients $g_{\alpha\beta}$, which are functions of the co-ordinates $x_1 \ldots x_4$. The line-element ds must be invariant for all transformations, and it entirely characterises the metric properties of the four-dimensional time-space. If we introduce other co-ordinates $x_1' \ldots x_4'$ by an arbitrary transformation

$$x_i' = f_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4),$$

so that

$$(2) \qquad \begin{cases} dx_i = \sum_j p_{ij} dx_j', \\ dx_i' = \sum_j \pi_{ji} dx_j, \end{cases}$$

we shall find in the new co-ordinates

$$ds^2 = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta g'_{\alpha\beta} dx_\alpha' dx_\beta',$$

and

$$(3) \qquad g'_{ij} = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta p_{\alpha i} p_{\beta j} g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

In the four-dimensional time-space we consider tensors of different orders. The tensor of order zero is a pure number (scalar), the tensor of the first order is a vector, which has 4 components, the tensor of the second order has 16 components, and so on. The ten coefficients g_{ij} form a tensor of the second order. Since $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$, this tensor is symmetrical. We need not go into details regarding the calculus of these tensors,† which has been developed by Riemann, Christoffel, Levi-Civita, Ricci, and others. The central fact is that the transformation-formulas for tensors are easily derived from those

* Throughout this paper $\sum_\alpha$ will denote a sum over the values 1, 2, 3, 4 of the index α, and $\sum_\alpha'$ a sum over the values 1, 2, 3 only.

† See, *e.g.*, Einstein und Grossmann (quoted above), Einstein I. and V.

for the co-ordinates [thus, *e.g.*, any set of 16 quantities which are transformed by the equations (3) form a covariant tensor of the second order], and that these transformation-formulas express the components of the transformed tensor as homogeneous linear functions of the components of the original tensor. Therefore, if for one system of co-ordinates a certain tensor is zero, it is zero for any system of co-ordinates. Consequently, if once we have expressed the laws of nature in the form of linear relations between tensors, they will be invariant for all transformations. Thus with the aid of the calculus of tensors Einstein has succeeded in satisfying the postulate of general relativity. The fundamental tensor g_{ij}, which defines the line-element, and therefore the metric properties of the reference-system of space-time co-ordinates, naturally occupies a prominent place in all formulas.

3. The characteristic feature of Einstein's theory is the intimate connection which he has traced between this fundamental tensor and the gravitational field. In all other theories, also in the "old" theory of relativity, gravitation is a "force," like, *e.g.*, electromagnetic forces, which requires its own laws, and these laws have no greater inherent necessity than those of any other natural phenomenon. In Einstein's new theory, gravitation is of a much more fundamental nature: it becomes almost a property of space. Gravitation certainly differs from all other forces of nature by its generality and its independence of anything else. At a given point in a gravitational field every material point receives the same acceleration whatever its chemical or physical properties may be. Now, if we introduce a new system of co-ordinates which at this point has exactly this acceleration, then the material point subjected to gravitation would be at rest relatively to this new system of co-ordinates, and would thus in this new system be apparently not subjected to gravitation. By the principle of general relativity there is no essential difference between the two systems of co-ordinates: we have no right to say that either of them is at rest and the other moves. Whether there is at a given point a gravitational field or not thus depends on the choice of the reference-system.

In the old mechanics space is Euclidean, and a material point subjected to no forces describes a straight line with uniform velocity, *i.e.* its world-line in a Euclidean four-dimensional time-space [the system of reference of the old theory of relativity] is a straight line. In Einstein's theory, if there is gravitation, the four-dimensional time-space is not Euclidean, and the world-line of a point subjected to no other forces than gravitation is a geodetic line. If there is no gravitation, the time-space is Euclidean, and the geodetic line is a straight line as in the old theory. Gravitation is thus, properly speaking, *not* a "force" in the new theory.

4. The equations of the geodetic line are, of course, derived in terms of the coefficients g_{ij} by writing down the condition that $\int ds$ shall be a minimum. We will not enter into the details of this computation, but we will only explain so much of the opera-

tions involved as is necessary for the good understanding of the subsequent reasoning.*

The determinant of the g_{ij} will be called g.

If $d\tau$ be the four-dimensional element of volume (thus $d\tau = dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4$), then

$$\sqrt{g}\,.\,d\tau = \sqrt{g'}\,.\,d\tau'$$

is also invariant for all transformations.

It is always possible to perform a transformation by which at any *one* given point the g_{ij} are reduced to their values in the old theory of relativity, *i.e.* to

$$ds^2 = -dx_1^2 - dx_2^2 - dx_3^2 + dx_4^2.$$

It follows that g is always negative, if we restrict ourselves to transformations in which $d\tau$ remains real and positive.

We introduce further the symmetrical contravariant tensor g^{ij}, of which the components are the minors of the g_{ij} divided by g. We may also state the relation between g_{ij} and g^{ij} thus:

$$\text{if} \quad y_i = \sum_j g_{ij} z_j,$$
$$\text{then} \quad z_i = \sum_j g^{ij} y_j.$$

The transformation-formulas for the g^{ij} are

$$g'^{ij} = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta \pi_{\alpha i} \pi_{\beta j} g^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{4}$$

We will now proceed to give the definition of certain symbols which are of frequent occurrence in the formulas. We put

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{matrix} ij \\ p \end{matrix}\right] &= \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ip}}{\partial x_j} + \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{jp}}{\partial x_i} - \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_p}, \\ \left\{\begin{matrix} ij \\ p \end{matrix}\right\} &= \sum_\alpha g^{\alpha p}\left[\begin{matrix} ij \\ \alpha \end{matrix}\right]. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{5}$$

The symbols $\left\{\begin{matrix} ij \\ p \end{matrix}\right\}$ thus contain the g_{ij} and their first derivatives with respect to the co-ordinates. The differential equations of the geodetic line are then found to be

$$\frac{d^2 x_i}{ds^2} + \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha\beta \\ i \end{matrix}\right\} \frac{dx_\alpha}{ds}\frac{dx_\beta}{ds} = 0. \qquad (i = 1 \ldots 4.) \tag{I.}$$

If we wish to separate the time-co-ordinate from the space-co-ordinates, we take $x_4 = ct$. Further, we put

$$\frac{dx_i}{cdt} = \dot{x}_i, \text{ so that } \dot{x}_4 = 1.$$

* See, *e.g.*, Einstein V., §§ 8 and 9.

Then we find easily from (I.)

$$(6) \qquad \frac{d^2x_i}{c^2dt^2} = -\sum_p \sum_q \left[\begin{Bmatrix} pq \\ i \end{Bmatrix} - \begin{Bmatrix} pq \\ 4 \end{Bmatrix} \dot{x}_i \right] \dot{x}_p \dot{x}_q. \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3.$$

These are the equations of motion of a material point in the gravitational field.

For moderate velocities the differential coefficients $\dot{x}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are small on account of the denominator c. We will consider as a small quantity of the first order the square of the Gaussian constant of attraction k, divided by the square of the velocity of light:

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{k^2}{c^2} = 9{\cdot}853 \times 10^{-9}. \qquad \text{(Astronomical units.)}$$

Then $\dot{x}_i$ is of the order $\frac{1}{2}$. The brackets $\begin{Bmatrix} pq \\ i \end{Bmatrix}$ are, for those systems of co-ordinates which we will mostly use, at least of the first order. In the equation (6) the left-hand member is of the first order, and in the right-hand member we will retain quantities of the second order. The g_{ij} for rectangular Cartesian co-ordinates are

$$(7) \qquad \begin{cases} g_{ii} = -1 + \gamma_{ii}, & g_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}, \\ g_{i4} = \gamma_{i4}, & g_{44} = 1 + \gamma_{44}. \end{cases}$$

We shall often use the notation

$$\delta_{ii} = 1, \quad \delta_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Then we can write for (7)

$$(7') \qquad g_{ij} = \mp \delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij},$$

where the lower sign must be taken for $i = j = 4$. This double sign might have been avoided by taking $x_4 = ct \cdot \sqrt{-1}$; we will, however, generally use $x_4 = ct$.

The γ_{ij} are at least of the first order.

5. The element ds integrated along the geodetic line gives $s = \int ds$. This is what Minkowski calls the *proper-time* of the material particle. The relation between t and s is given by the fourth of the equations (I.), viz.:

$$\frac{d^2x_4}{ds^2} = -\sum_p \sum_q \begin{Bmatrix} pq \\ 4 \end{Bmatrix} \frac{dx_p}{ds} \frac{dx_q}{ds}.$$

The brackets $\begin{Bmatrix} pq \\ 4 \end{Bmatrix}$ for p and q different from 4 are generally zero, and in any case the terms in which they occur are of a higher order than the others. We have thus

$$\frac{d^2x_4}{ds^2} = -2\sum_p \begin{Bmatrix} p4 \\ 4 \end{Bmatrix} \frac{dx_p}{ds} \frac{dx_4}{ds}.$$

Further, since also g^{a4} and the square brackets $\left[\begin{matrix} p4 \\ a \end{matrix}\right]$ for a different from 4 are of a higher order, we have

$$\left\{\begin{matrix} p4 \\ 4 \end{matrix}\right\} = g^{44}\left[\begin{matrix} p4 \\ 4 \end{matrix}\right] = \tfrac{1}{2} g^{44} \frac{\partial g_{44}}{\partial x_p}.$$

Further, with sufficient accuracy

$$g^{44} = \frac{1}{g_{44}}.$$

Therefore our equation becomes

$$\frac{d^2 x_4}{ds^2} = -\frac{1}{g_{44}} \frac{dg_{44}}{ds} \frac{dx_4}{ds},$$

from which

$$\log \frac{dx_4}{ds} = -\log g_{44}$$

or

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{1}{c \,.\, g_{44}} = \frac{1}{c(1+\gamma)}. \tag{8}$$

6. As a first approximation we can in the right-hand members of (6) take only the terms of the first order. The equations then become

$$\frac{d^2 x_i}{c^2 dt^2} = -\left\{\begin{matrix} 44 \\ i \end{matrix}\right\}.$$

Now we have, by (5)

$$\left\{\begin{matrix} 44 \\ i \end{matrix}\right\} = g^{ii}\left[\begin{matrix} 44 \\ i \end{matrix}\right] = -\left[\begin{matrix} 44 \\ i \end{matrix}\right] = -\tfrac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{44}}{\partial x_i}.$$

Thus if we take

$$\gamma_{44} = \gamma = \frac{2\Omega}{c^2},$$

we find

$$\frac{d^2 x_i}{dt^2} = -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_i}. \tag{9}$$

The first approximation leads thus to the ordinary Newtonian theory of gravitation, and $\Omega = \frac{1}{2} c^2 \gamma$ is the potential function. It thus appears that the g_{ij} determine the gravitational field in much the same way as the potential does in classical mechanics. The essential feature of the theory is that these same g_{ij} also determine the metric properties of the reference system of space-time co-ordinates.

7. In classical mechanics the potential is determined by Poisson's equation

$$\nabla^2 \Omega = -4\pi k^2 \rho.$$

The equations analogous to this, which determine the g_{ij} in Einstein's theory, are the following. We put

$$(10)\qquad \begin{cases} R_{pq} = -\sum_\alpha \dfrac{\partial \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ \alpha \end{matrix} \right\}}{\partial x_\alpha} + \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta \left\{ \begin{matrix} p\alpha \\ \beta \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} q\beta \\ \alpha \end{matrix} \right\}, \\ S_{pq} = \sum_\alpha \dfrac{\partial \left\{ \begin{matrix} p\alpha \\ \alpha \end{matrix} \right\}}{\partial x_q} - \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ \alpha \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha\beta \\ \beta \end{matrix} \right\}. \end{cases}$$

$$(11)\qquad G_{pq} = R_{pq} + S_{pq}, \qquad G = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The G_{pq} form a symmetrical tensor of the second order $[G_{pq} = G_{qp}]$. The R_{pq} and the S_{pq} separately are, however, not tensors for every system of co-ordinates. Einstein has, as we will see below, often specialised the system of co-ordinates in such a way that

$$(12)\qquad \sqrt{-g} = 1.$$

We find by a simple computation that

$$S_{pq} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p \partial x_q} \log \sqrt{-g} - \sum_\alpha \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ \alpha \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{\partial \log \sqrt{-g}}{dx_\alpha},$$

Therefore, if we adopt (12), we have $S_{pq} = 0$, $G_{pq} = R_{pq}$.

The equations determining the g_{ij} are now

$$(II.)\qquad G_{pq} = -\kappa [T_{pq} - \tfrac{1}{2} g_{pq} T],$$

where T_{pq} is a tensor depending on the matter (and energy) producing the gravitational field, and

$$T = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta g^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}.$$

If we multiply (II.) by g^{pq} and sum over p and q, we find

$$(13)\qquad G = \kappa T.$$

Therefore (II.) can also be written

$$(II'.)\qquad G_{pq} - \tfrac{1}{2} g_{pq} G = -\kappa T_{pq}.$$

The tensor G_{pq} is the only tensor of the second order which contains no higher derivatives of the g_{ij} than the second. The introduction of the tensor T_{ij} by Einstein is based on certain considerations connected with the laws of conservation of energy, etc., on which we need not enter here. Its expression, if we neglect pressures, molecular forces, etc., is

$$(14)\qquad T_{ij} = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta g_{\alpha i} g_{\beta j} \frac{dx_\alpha}{ds} \cdot \frac{dx_\beta}{ds} \cdot \rho,$$

from which we easily find

$$T = \rho,$$

where ρ is the density. In the first approximation we find that of the equations (II.) we need only one, and that one reduces to the equation of Poisson,

$$\sum_{\alpha}{}' \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial x_\alpha{}^2} = -\kappa\rho.$$

Consequently we have

$$\kappa = 8\pi\frac{k^2}{c^2} = 8\pi\lambda^2.$$

Exactly the same equations (II.) have also been derived by Lorentz * from a very different point of view. Lorentz considers a certain function H, which must be a minimum, or at least stationary, within any limited portion of the four-dimensional time-space. This function consists of three parts, $H = H_1 + H_2 + H_3$. The first part refers to matter, the second to the electromagnetic field, and the third to the gravitational field. We will here only consider the functions H_1 and H_3. Lorentz puts

$$H_1 = \Sigma\mu\int ds,$$

where the sum is to be taken over all material points which are present within the four-dimensional volume considered, μ is a factor depending on the mass of the material point, and $\int ds$ is the length of that part of the world-line of the point which falls within the considered volume. Further,

$$H_3 = \int \sqrt{-g}\,.\,G d\tau,$$

where $d\tau$ is the four-dimensional element of volume, and the integral is to be taken over the whole volume. It appears that the mathematical interpretation of G is the *curvature* of the four-dimensional system of reference. From (II.) it appears that at points where there is no matter $[T_{ij} = 0]$ this curvature is zero.

We now write down the condition

$$\delta H = 0, \tag{15}$$

where the variation is to be effected with regard to the co-ordinates x_i of all material points, and to the ten g_{ij}'s, and at the boundary the values of x_i, g_{ij}, and their derivatives must not be varied. Then, from this generalised principle of Hamilton, we derive Lagrangian

* In a course of lectures given at the University of Leiden from March to June 1916. See also *Proceedings of the Academy of Sciences at Amsterdam*, 1916, Feb. Many of the results contained in the present paper are wholly or partially derived from these lectures. Others were developed during, or suggested by, conversations with my colleagues Lorentz and Ehrenfest. Much is also due to Mr. J. Droste. As much as possible I have added footnotes quoting the authority: but as there has been so much free interchange of ideas, it is not always possible to assign to each his exact share.

equations, precisely in the same manner as is done in classical mechanics.

The variation with regard to the co-ordinates, which occur explicitly in H_1 only, gives the equations (I.), and that with regard to the g_{ij}, which occur both in H_1 and H_3, leads to the equations (II.).

The function H_2, which is here neglected, contains also the g_{ij}, and in addition to these four parameters q_i and their first derivatives, which describe the magnetic field. If H_2 is included, H must be a minimum also with respect to these parameters q_i. The variation with regard to them gives four more Lagrangian equations, which are equivalent to Maxwell's equations for the electromagnetic field. This latter thus depends necessarily on the g_{ij}, *i.e.* on the gravitational field, and conversely the g_{ij} depend on the q_i.

Thus the whole of the theories of electromagnetism (and light) and gravitation (mechanics) is included in the one equation (15).

Hilbert* has given very much the same reasoning, only he does not consider the presence of matter, and accordingly omits the function H_1.

8. An essential feature of the new theory is that of the ten equations (II.) only six are mutually independent. The g_{ij} are therefore not determined by these equations: there remains a large amount of freedom. This is essential. Once the g_{ij} are fixed upon, all the properties of the four-dimensional representative time-space are determined. The principle of general relativity, however, requires that the system of reference shall be arbitrary. The equations (I.) and (II.) completely describe all phenomena. They must, therefore, not imply a choice of the system of co-ordinates, *i.e.* they must leave the g_{ij} to a certain extent undetermined. To determine them completely, and thereby fix the system of co-ordinates, four additional conditions are required.

Hilbert, in the paper already quoted, mentions a mathematical theorem which asserts that, if J be an invariant for all transformations of the four variables x_i, and Lagrangian equations are formed from the condition $\delta \int J\sqrt{-g}d\tau = 0$, where the variation is to be effected with regard to n parameters, then always four of these equations are dependent on the other $n-4$. The $\sqrt{-g}$ is needed to make the whole function under the integral sign an invariant, $d\tau$ by itself being not invariant. Now G is an invariant. The function H_3 thus falls under the theorem, and consequently, if we consider the gravitational field outside the material points (where $H_1 = 0$), only six of the ten equations (II.) are mutually independent.†

* *Göttinger Nachrichten*, 1915 Nov. 20, p. 395.

† If H_2 also is included, we have fourteen equations, of which only ten are mutually independent. For the four which are dependent on the ten others we can choose the equations of the electromagnetic field, and we can thus say, as Hilbert does, that by the new theory the electromagnetic phenomena are effects of gravitation. This must, however, not be so understood that the q_i are determined by the g_{ij}. From the first ten equations we can solve the

If the function H_1 is included, Hilbert's theorem is still applicable, since ds is an invariant. The function H_1 produces the right-hand members of the equations (II.) or (II'.), but not the complete right-hand members. Hilbert's theorem thus applies to the left-hand members of these equations only. But it is evident that it must also apply to the complete equations. For if from six of the left-hand members we can, by simple operations, derive the four others, then these same operations applied to the six corresponding right-hand members must also reproduce the right-hand members of the four other equations, else the equations would be contradictory. In the practical applications most of the right-hand members are of a higher order than need be taken into account, and can be put equal to zero. But if we wish to be correct to all orders, evidently the applicability of Hilbert's theorem, *i.e.* the principle of general relativity, imposes certain conditions on the tensor T_{ij}, which is then no longer determined by the equation (14) alone, but also contains pressures, molecular forces, etc. These considerations lead to the expectation that the theory of general relativity may eventually lead also to a definite theory of molecular forces and the constitution of the atom, or at least it restricts such theories within certain limits. I may add that Lorentz, in order to get the equations (II.) exact to all orders in agreement with Einstein, is compelled to add to his function H a further term which corresponds precisely to these pressures and molecular forces. I will, however, not insist on these points, which are not of immediate importance for the astronomical applications.

9. The equations (I.) and (II.) are invariant for all transformations of the four co-ordinates $x_1 \ldots x_4$. (I.) are the equations of motion of a material point. To construct these for any particular system of reference, we must first solve the g_{ij} from (II.), together with the four additional conditions defining the chosen system. If then we wish to transform to another system, we can alter our additional conditions, solve g_{ij} anew from (II.) and the new conditions, and substitute in (I.). Or we can transform the g_{ij} by (3). Or, finally, we can express the g_{ij} in (I.) in terms of the co-ordinates, and then transform (I.) directly by means of (2) and their first derivatives. The result is the same in all cases. The equations (I.) expressed in g'_{ij} and x_i' are of the same form as in g_{ij} and x_i, but if the g_{ij} are replaced by their expressions in terms

g_{ij} in terms of the q_i: if these values are substituted in the four other equations, these become identities, *i.e.* they are satisfied by *any* values of the q_i. We might thus choose the q_i, *i.e.* the electromagnetic field, entirely arbitrarily, and the choice would be equivalent to a determination of the system of co-ordinates. In other words, we can always introduce such a system of reference that the quantities q_i determining the electromagnetic field have any prescribed values. Then in this system of reference the gravitational field is entirely determinate. If, on the other hand, we fix the system of reference by four other additional conditions, then both the g_{ij} and the q_i are entirely determinate. It should be pointed out that it is an accidental coincidence that the electromagnetic field is described by *four* parameters, and there are also *four* degrees of indeterminateness. I will not dwell longer on these questions, which are not yet entirely cleared up and are outside my subject.

of the x_i, and similarly the g'_{ij} in the x'_i, then of course the two sets of equations are entirely different.

From the transformation-formulas of the g_{ij} and g^{ij} we derive those for the brackets, which are found to be

$$(16) \qquad \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}' = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta \sum_\kappa p_{\alpha p}\, p_{\beta q}\, \pi_{\kappa i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha\beta \\ \kappa \end{matrix} \right\} + \delta \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

The second term would be zero if the p_{ij}, and therefore also the π_{ij}, were constants. The brackets would then form a tensor of the third order, and the transformation of the equations (I.) would be

$$\frac{d^2 x'_i}{c^2 dt'^2} = \sum_\lambda \pi_{\lambda i} \frac{d^2 x_\lambda}{c^2 dt^2}.$$

The second term in (16) must be computed for each transformation separately from (3) and (4), by taking only those parts of the differential coefficients of g'_{ij} which arise through the differentiation of the p_{ij}.

Take, *e.g.*,

$$x'_i = x_i - \psi_i(ct), \qquad x'_4 = x_4 = ct,$$

where the ψ_i are given functions of the time. Then we find

$$\delta \left\{ \begin{matrix} 44 \\ i \end{matrix} \right\} = \ddot{\psi}_i, \text{ all other } \delta \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\} = 0.$$

Further, we have $\pi_{ii} = 1$, $\pi_{\lambda i} = 0$ for $\lambda \neq i$, therefore

$$\frac{d^2 x'_i}{c^2 dt^2} = \frac{d^2 x_i}{c^2 dt^2} - \ddot{\psi}_i,$$

as it evidently must be.

As another example we will derive the general transformation-formula for a transformation of the space co-ordinates alone, thus: $p_{4i} = p_{i4} = 0$, $p_{44} = 1$. We will suppose that for rectangular co-ordinates the g_{ij} have the following values, which are somewhat less general than (7):

$$g_{ij} = -\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}, \qquad g_{i4} = 0, \qquad g_{44} = 1 + \gamma.$$

For other systems of co-ordinates the g_{ij} will also consist of a part of the order zero and a part of the first or higher orders; thus, for all values of i and j:

$$g_{ij} = g_{ij}{}^0 + \gamma_{ij}, \qquad g^{ij} = g_0{}^{ij} + \gamma^{ij}.$$

Consequently, also the brackets $\left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}$ will similarly consist of a part of the order zero and a part of the first or higher orders, thus:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}_0 + \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}_1.$$

The equations (6) then become, neglecting terms higher than the second order:

$$(17)\quad \frac{d^2x_i}{c^2dt^2} + \sum_p{}' \sum_q{}' \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}_0 \dot{x}_p\dot{x}_q - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_j g_0^{ij}\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x_j} = \sum_p{}' \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x_p}\dot{x}_i\dot{x}_p + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_j{}' \gamma^{ij}\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x_j} - \sum_p{}' \sum_q{}' \left\{ \begin{matrix} pq \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}_1 \dot{x}_p\dot{x}_q.$$

The left-hand members put equal to zero are the complete equations of motion for Newtonian mechanics, if for $c^2\gamma$ we write 2Ω, as in (9). It is easily verified that they agree with the equations of classical mechanics for any system of co-ordinates. The right-hand members, which are of the second order, are the terms added by the new theory. The addition of these terms, and those of the third and higher orders, which have not been written, is necessary in order to make the equations invariant for all transformations.

10. We will now apply the equations (II.) to derive the gravitational field of a sphere (say the sun), which we will suppose to be at rest at the origin of the system of space co-ordinates. For the fourth co-ordinate we will take $x_4 = ct$. For the space co-ordinates we will use either rectangular Cartesian co-ordinates,

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_2 = y, \quad x_3 = z,$$

or polar co-ordinates,

$$x_1 = r, \quad x_2 = \theta, \quad x_3 = \phi.$$

The formulas of transformation are

$$\begin{aligned} x &= r\cos\phi\cos\theta, \\ y &= r\cos\phi\sin\theta, \\ z &= r\sin\phi. \end{aligned}$$

If there is no gravitation, *i.e.* if the mass of the sun were zero, the expression of the line-element is, in these two systems,

$$(18)\quad \begin{cases} ds^2 = -dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 + c^2dt^2, \\ ds^2 = -dr^2 - r^2\cos^2\phi d\theta^2 - r^2d\phi^2 + c^2dt^2. \end{cases}$$

The actual values of the g_{ij} will differ from those implied by (18) by quantities of at least the first order. We will take $g_{i4} = 0$. We will for the moment not enter into details regarding this assumption, and the consequences implied by it. At the present stage we may accept it as constituting three of our four additional conditions. From reasons of symmetry it is apparent at once that, if the gravitational field of the sun is taken into account, the line-element must be of the form *

$$(19)\quad ds^2 = -(1+\alpha)dr^2 - (1+\beta)[r^2\cos^2\phi d\theta^2 + r^2d\phi^2] + (1+\gamma)c^2dt^2.$$

* See also J. Droste, *Proceedings of the Academy of Sciences at Amsterdam*, 1914 Dec., vol. xlii. p. 998.

The corresponding values of the g_{ij} for rectangular co-ordinates are given by

$$(19') \quad ds^2 = -(1+\beta)(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2) + \frac{\beta-\alpha}{r^2}\sum_i{}'\sum_j{}' x_i x_j dx_i dx_j + (1+\gamma)c^2dt^2.$$

Evidently α, β, and γ must be functions of r alone. Differential quotients with respect to r will be denoted by accents: $\alpha' = \frac{d\alpha}{dr}$, etc. Then, in the system of polar co-ordinates, we find the following values for the brackets:

$$(20) \quad \begin{cases} \left\{\begin{matrix}11\\1\end{matrix}\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\alpha'}{1+\alpha}, \\ \left\{\begin{matrix}22\\1\end{matrix}\right\} = -r\cos^2\phi\frac{1+\beta}{1+\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}r^2\cos^2\phi\frac{\beta'}{1+\alpha}, \\ \left\{\begin{matrix}33\\1\end{matrix}\right\} = -r\frac{1+\beta}{1+\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}r^2\frac{\beta'}{1+\alpha}. \\ \left\{\begin{matrix}12\\2\end{matrix}\right\} = \left\{\begin{matrix}13\\3\end{matrix}\right\} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta'}{1+\beta}, \\ \left\{\begin{matrix}22\\3\end{matrix}\right\} = \sin\phi\cos\phi, \qquad \left\{\begin{matrix}23\\2\end{matrix}\right\} = -\tan\phi, \\ \left\{\begin{matrix}44\\1\end{matrix}\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\gamma'}{1+\alpha}, \qquad \left\{\begin{matrix}14\\4\end{matrix}\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\gamma'}{1+\gamma}. \end{cases}$$

Those which have not been written are zero.

The computations are somewhat simplified if we introduce

$$\lambda = \log(1+\alpha), \quad \mu = \log(1+\beta), \quad \nu = \log(1+\gamma).$$

Then we have

$$g_{11} = -e^{\lambda}, \quad g_{22} = -r^2\cos^2\phi e^{\mu}, \quad g_{33} = -r^2e^{\mu}, \quad g_{44} = e^{\nu}.$$

Further, since all g_{ij} for $i \neq j$ are zero,

$$g^{ii} = \frac{1}{g_{ii}}.$$

We thus find

$$G_{11} = \mu'' + \tfrac{1}{2}\nu'' + \frac{2}{r}\mu' - \frac{1}{r}\lambda' + \tfrac{1}{2}\mu'^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda'\mu' - \tfrac{1}{4}\lambda'\nu' + \tfrac{1}{4}\nu'^2,$$

$$G_{22} = -\cos^2\phi + \cos^2\phi \cdot e^{\mu-\lambda}\left[1 + 2r\mu' + \tfrac{1}{2}r(\nu'-\lambda') + \tfrac{1}{2}r^2\mu'' + \tfrac{1}{2}r^2\mu'(\mu' + \tfrac{1}{2}\nu' - \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda')\right],$$

$$G_{33} = -1 + e^{\mu-\lambda}\left[1 + 2r\mu' + \tfrac{1}{2}r^2\mu'' + \tfrac{1}{2}r(\nu'-\lambda') + \tfrac{1}{2}r^2\mu'(\mu' + \tfrac{1}{2}\nu' - \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda')\right],$$

$$G_{44} = -e^{\nu-\lambda}\left[\frac{\nu'}{r} + \tfrac{1}{2}\nu'' + \tfrac{1}{2}\nu'(\mu' + \tfrac{1}{2}\nu' - \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda')\right].$$

The others are zero.

Now the equations (II'.) are

$$G_{ii} - \tfrac{1}{2} g_{ii} G = -\kappa T_{ii}, \quad G = \sum_i \frac{G_{ii}}{g_{ii}}.$$

Thus, if we put

$$y_i = \frac{G_{ii}}{g_{ii}}, \quad Y_i = -\frac{\kappa T_{ii}}{g_{ii}},$$

our equations become

$$(21) \quad \begin{cases} y_1 - y_2 - y_3 - y_4 = 2Y_1 \\ -y_1 + y_2 - y_3 - y_4 = 2Y_2 \\ -y_1 - y_2 + y_3 - y_4 = 2Y_3 \\ -y_1 - y_2 - y_3 + y_4 = 2Y_4. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$y_2 = y_3, \quad Y_2 = Y_3.$$

Of the equations (21) there are thus three different from each other, and we have three unknowns, λ, μ, ν. After some simple transformations we find

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} \mu'' + \frac{1}{2}\nu'' + \frac{2}{r}(\mu' - \frac{1}{2}\lambda') + \frac{1}{2}\mu'^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\lambda' + \mu')^2 = X_1 \\ 1 - e^{\lambda-\mu} + \frac{1}{2}r^2\mu'' + 2r\mu' + \frac{1}{2}r(\nu' - \lambda') + \frac{1}{2}r^2\mu'(\mu' + \frac{1}{2}\nu' - \frac{1}{2}\lambda') = X_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}\nu'' + \frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{1}{2}\nu'(\mu' + \frac{1}{2}\nu' - \frac{1}{2}\lambda') = X_4 \end{cases}$$

where the right-hand members are

$$(22') \quad \begin{cases} X_1 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa\left[T_{11} - \frac{e^{\lambda-\mu}}{r^2}(\sec^2\phi T_{22} + T_{33}) + e^{\lambda-\nu}T_{44}\right], \\ X_3 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa r^2[T_{11} - e^{\lambda-\nu}T_{44}], \\ X_4 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa\left[T_{11} + \frac{e^{\lambda-\mu}}{r^2}(\sec^2\phi T_{22} + T_{33}) + e^{\lambda-\nu}T_{44}\right]. \end{cases}$$

We must now introduce the tensor T_{ij}. This is given by (14), together with terms depending on the internal forces (pressures, etc.) within the matter composing the sun. We must thus make a hypothesis regarding these internal forces. If we assume the sun to consist of a fluid whose changes of volume occur adiabatically,* we must add the terms

$$T_{11} = p, \quad T_{22} = r^2\cos^2\phi \cdot p, \quad T_{33} = r^2 p, \quad T_{44} = \rho P,$$

where p is the pressure and

$$P = -\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} p d\tau,$$

* See Einstein I., pp. 1061–1062. See also J. Droste, *Proceedings . . . Amsterdam*, 1916 May, and K. Schwarzschild, "Ueber das Gravitationsfeld einer Kugel," *Sitzungsber. Berlin*, 1916 Feb. 24, p. 424.

τ being the volume and τ_0 the volume for $p=0$. If the fluid is incompressible, we have $P=0$. In the formulas (14) we have, the sun being at rest, $dx_i=0$ for $i=1, 2, 3$, and $ds^2=(1+\gamma)dx_4^2$. The complete values of T_{ii} to be used in (22′) are thus:

$$(23)\qquad T_{11}=p,\quad \sec^2\phi T_{22}+T_{33}=2r^2p,\quad T_{44}=\rho(1+\gamma+P).$$

The pressure p arises through gravitation, and is thus of the order of the constant of gravitation κ, *i.e.* of the first order. We require α and β only to the first order, and γ to the second order. Introducing the values (23), returning to the original unknowns α, β, γ, and at the same time omitting terms of higher orders than are needed,* we find, after some reduction, the following three equations:

$$(24)\qquad \alpha'-2\beta'-\gamma'-r(\beta''+\gamma'')=0,$$

$$(25)\qquad \alpha-\beta-r(\beta'+\gamma')=0,$$

$$(26)\qquad r\gamma'+\tfrac{1}{2}r^2\gamma''+\tfrac{1}{2}r^2\gamma'(\beta'-\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha'-\tfrac{1}{2}\gamma')=\tfrac{1}{2}\kappa r^2\rho'(1+\alpha+\gamma),$$

where we have put

$$\rho'=\rho(1+P)-3p.$$

The difference between ρ' and ρ is of the first order. If we had made another assumption regarding the inner constitution of the sun, we would have found another value for ρ'. No sharp definition has as yet been given of the "density" ρ. There is no objection to calling ρ' "density."

We will accordingly drop the accent, and write ρ for ρ'.

II. It is apparent at once that (24) is nothing but the first derivative of (25). The three equations are thus not sufficient to determine the three unknowns: we must add one condition. As γ is needed to the second order, we will put

$$\gamma=\gamma_1+\gamma_2,$$

where γ_1 is of the first and γ_2 of the second order. We will also replace κ by its value $8\pi\lambda^2$. Instead of (26) we then get the two equations

$$(27)\qquad r\gamma_1'+\tfrac{1}{2}r^2\gamma_1''=4\pi\lambda^2r^2\rho.$$

$$(28)\qquad r\gamma_2'+\tfrac{1}{2}r^2\gamma_2''+\tfrac{1}{2}r^2\gamma_1'(\beta'-\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha'-\tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_1')=4\pi\lambda^2r^2\rho(\alpha+\gamma_1).$$

* The *rigorous* equations for the two systems considered in the next article are:

$$\text{A.}\quad\begin{cases}\lambda+2\mu+\nu=0\\ \nu''+\dfrac{2}{r}\nu'-\lambda'\nu'=\kappa\rho e^{\lambda}\\ 1-e^{\lambda-\mu}+r(\mu'+\nu')+\tfrac{1}{2}r^2\mu'(\nu'+\tfrac{1}{2}\mu')=0.\end{cases}$$

$$\text{B.}\quad\begin{cases}\lambda=\mu\\ \nu''+\dfrac{2}{r}\nu'+\tfrac{1}{2}\nu'(\nu'+\mu')=\kappa\rho e^{\mu}\\ \mu'+\nu'+\tfrac{1}{2}r\mu'(\nu'+\tfrac{1}{2}\mu')=0.\end{cases}$$

From (27) we find

$$(29)\qquad \begin{cases} \gamma_1' = \dfrac{2\lambda^2}{r^2} m(r) \\ \gamma_1 = -\dfrac{2\lambda^2}{r} m(r) - 2\lambda_0^2[\mathrm{N} - n(r)], \end{cases}$$

where we have put

$$m(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r r^2 \rho dr,$$

$$n(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r r\rho dr, \qquad \mathrm{N} = n(\mathrm{R}),$$

R being the radius of the sun. For $r \geqq \mathrm{R}$ we have $n(r) = \mathrm{N}$.

Then (25) and (28) become

$$(30)\qquad r\beta' + \beta - \alpha = -\frac{2\lambda^2}{r} m(r),$$

$$(31)\qquad r^2\gamma_2' = -2\lambda^2 m(r)[\beta - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha + \gamma_1)] + 4\pi\lambda^2 \int_0^r r^2 \rho [\beta + \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha + \gamma_1)] dr.$$

These equations are not sufficient to determine α, β, and γ_2, we must add one condition, which will define the manner in which the radius r should be measured. Lorentz in his lectures took the condition that the radial velocity of light should be constant, *i.e.* r is measured by the time taken by a light vibration to reach a point on the radius from the sun. This leads to the condition $\alpha = \gamma$. Einstein takes the condition (12): $\sqrt{-g} = 1$, as is also done by Schwarzschild.* We will here consider two different conditions, viz.:

$$(32)\qquad \begin{cases} \text{A.} \quad \beta = 0, \\ \text{B.} \quad \alpha + \gamma_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

In both cases we get α and β at once from (30) and (32). We find:

$$(33)\qquad \begin{cases} \text{A.} \quad \alpha = +\dfrac{2\lambda^2}{r} m(r), \\ \text{B.} \quad \alpha = \beta = \dfrac{2\lambda^2}{r} m(r) + 2\lambda^2[\mathrm{N} - n(r)]. \end{cases}$$

Then we can solve γ_2 from (31). We find

$$\text{A.} \quad r^2\gamma_2' = -4\lambda^4 m(r)[\mathrm{N} - n(r)] - 2\lambda^4 q(r),$$

$$\text{B.} \quad r^2\gamma_2' = -\frac{4\lambda^4}{r} m(r)^2 + 8\lambda^4 q(r),$$

where we have put

$$q(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r r\rho m(r) dr.$$

* Einstein III., p. 832. Schwarzschild, "Das Gravitationsfeld eines Massenpunktes nach der Einstein'schen Theorie," *Sitzungsber. Berlin*, 1916 Jan. 13, p. 189.

For the equations of motion (6) we need only γ_2' and not γ_2 itself. Also we shall use the equations only outside the sun. We will put

$$m(\mathrm{R}) = m, \quad q(\mathrm{R}) = q.$$

Outside the sun we have thus $m(r) = m$, $n(r) = \mathrm{N}$, $q(r) = q$. We put further

$$m' = m - \lambda^2 q, \quad m'' = m + 4\lambda^2 q.$$

Then we have, outside the sun,

$$\text{A.} \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{2\lambda^4 q}{r^2}, \quad \gamma = -\frac{2\lambda^2 m'}{r}.$$

$$\text{B.} \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{2\lambda^4 m^2}{r^2} - \frac{8\lambda^4 q}{r}, \quad \gamma = -\frac{2\lambda^2 m''}{r} + \frac{2\lambda^4 m^2}{r^2}.$$

Now m' and m'' differ from m only in quantities of the first order. Since α and β need only be known to the first order, we may in their expressions, and also in γ_2, replace m by m' or m''. We may then call m' (or m'') the "mass," and we may drop the accents. Further, I put

$$\lambda_0^2 = \lambda^2 m.$$

Then we have finally,

$$(34) \qquad \begin{cases} \text{A.} \quad \alpha = -\gamma, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = -\dfrac{2\lambda_0^2}{r}. \\ \text{B.} \quad \alpha = \beta = -\gamma, \quad \gamma = -\dfrac{2\lambda_0^2}{r} + \dfrac{2\lambda_0^4}{r^2}. \end{cases}$$

The condition (12) is, to the first order, $\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \gamma) = 0$. This is satisfied by A,* but not by B. We will further give the expressions of the line-element ds. The three-dimensional line-element will be called $d\sigma$, thus

$$d\sigma^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 = dr^2 + r^2(\cos^2\phi d\theta^2 + d\phi^2).$$

Then we have

$$(35) \qquad \begin{cases} \text{A.} \quad ds^2 = -d\sigma^2 + c^2 dt^2 + \gamma(dr^2 + c^2 dt^2). \\ \text{B.} \quad ds^2 = -d\sigma^2 + c^2 dt^2 + \gamma(d\sigma^2 + c^2 dt^2). \end{cases}$$

The two systems differ only in the way in which r is measured. We can transform the one to the other by a transformation of r alone. If in the formulas A we substitute

$$(36) \qquad r = r'\left(1 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{r}\right) = r' + \lambda_0^2,$$

and then write r for r', we find the formulas B, remembering that we must reject terms with λ_0^4, unless multiplied by c^2.

* Inside the sun, it is also not satisfied by A.

All our formulas are approximations: they can thus only be used if α, β, γ are actually small, *i.e.* if r is considerably larger than $2\lambda_0^2$. For the sun λ_0^2 is about 1·5 km.

12. The velocity of light measured in the representative system of co-ordinates is

$$v = \frac{d\sigma}{dt}.$$

When there is no gravitation, this velocity is constant, $v = c$, and therefore, by (18), $ds = 0$. In the gravitational field we have also, for a ray of light,

$$ds = 0,$$

and we can then determine v from (35). Consider a ray of light in the plane $\phi = 0$, and let the angle θ be reckoned from the radius r_0, which is perpendicular to the ray. Let, further, V be the angle



at a point on the ray between the tangent at that point and the radius-vector (see figure).

We have

$$\sin V = r\frac{d\theta}{d\sigma}, \quad \cos V = \frac{dr}{d\sigma}, \quad \tan V = r\frac{d\theta}{dr}.$$

Putting $ds = 0$ in (35) we have, in the two cases,

$$\text{A.} \quad v^2(1 - \gamma\cos^2 V) - c^2(1+\gamma) = 0,$$
$$\text{B.} \quad v^2(1-\gamma) - c^2(1+\gamma) = 0,$$

or

$$(37) \qquad \begin{cases} \text{A.} & v = c[1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma(1 + \cos^2 V)], \\ \text{B.} & v = c[1+\gamma]. \end{cases}$$

It is easily verified that by (36) the first formula is transformed into the second. In the system of co-ordinates B the velocity of light is the same in all directions; in the system A it has a maximum for directions perpendicular to the radius-vector.

The time required for the light to get from A to B is

$$t - t_0 = \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{dt}{dr}dr = \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{d\sigma}{dr} \cdot \frac{1}{v}dr = \int_0^r \frac{\sec V}{v}\,dr.$$

This time must be a minimum for the actual ray. We use the system B, thus

$$\frac{\sec V}{v} = (1-\gamma)\sqrt{1 + r^2\theta'^2}.$$

We consider another ray between the same points, and we take as corresponding points on the two rays, those points which have the same r, then $\delta r = 0$, and we find easily

$$\delta \frac{\sec V}{v} = (1-\gamma)\, r \sin V \delta\theta'.$$

We must have $\delta(t - t_0) = 0$. We integrate by parts; the integrated term vanishes, because at the terminal points the variation is zero. We have thus

$$\int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{d}{dr}[(1-\gamma) r \sin V]\delta\theta dr = 0.$$

The variations $\delta\theta$ are entirely arbitrary. Consequently the equation of the ray of light is

$$(1-\gamma) r \sin V = a, \tag{38}$$

a being a constant. At the point A we have $V = 90°$, $r = r_0 = a(1+\gamma)$. The constant a is therefore practically the minimum distance of the ray from the sun. The ray is curved. We will compute the deviation x, *i.e.* the angle between the tangent to the ray and the tangent at A. We have

$$x = V + \theta - 90°,$$

or

$$\frac{dx}{dV} = 1 + \frac{d\theta}{dV} = 1 + \frac{\tan V}{r}\frac{dr}{dV}.$$

If we put

$$r_1 = r(1-\gamma) = r + 2\lambda_0^2, \quad dr_1 = dr,$$

we have from (38)

$$\sin V = \frac{a}{r_1},$$

from which

$$\frac{dr}{dV} = -\frac{r_1^2}{a}\cos V = -r_1 \cot V.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{dx}{dV} = 1 - \frac{r_1}{r} = \gamma = -\frac{2\lambda_0^2}{a}\sin V$$

to the required order of accuracy. Therefore

$$x = \frac{2\lambda_0^2}{a}\cos V. \tag{39}$$

For $r = \infty$ we have $V = 0°$, and $x = \frac{2\lambda_0^2}{a}$. This is thus the total deviation. For a ray of light passing the sun, *i.e.* going from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, the total deviation is twice this amount. If the ray just

grazes the surface of the sun, the minimum distance is $a = R$, and the total double deviation is

$$\frac{4\lambda_0^2}{R} = 1''{\cdot}75.$$

Suppose that during a solar eclipse the sun is placed between two stars at the distances a_1 and a_2 from its centre. The mutual distance of the stars, if the sun were not between them, would be $a_1 + a_2$. During the eclipse the apparent distance would be

$$a_1 + a_2 + 1''{\cdot}75\left(\frac{R}{a_1} + \frac{R}{a_2}\right).$$

The difference could probably very well be measured.

13. Consider a fixed point in (three-dimensional) space. Thus $dx = dy = dz = 0$, or $d\sigma = 0$. Then from (35) we have, for both systems,

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{1}{c\sqrt{1+\gamma}} = \frac{1}{c}\left(1 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{r}\right).$$

At the surface of the sun we have thus

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{1}{c}\left(1 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{R}\right) = \frac{1{\cdot}00000212}{c},$$

and at an infinite distance from the sun

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{1}{c}.$$

The measure of time is thus different at different places in the gravitational field, and consequently also the frequency of periodic phenomena for which ds is constant. Consequently, spectral lines originating on the solar surface will be displaced towards the red, and the displacement will be the same as would be produced by a radial velocity of 0·00000212 c or 0·634 km./sec.

As is well known, several solar lines are actually displaced towards the red. The amount is, however, different for different lines, and the displacement has generally been ascribed to pressure-effects. For a star whose mass and density measured in those of the sun as units are M and ρ, the displacement would be

(40) $$K = 0{\cdot}634 M^{\frac{2}{3}} \rho^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

For helium stars there is a systematic displacement towards the red of about 4·5 km. From spectroscopic doubles of the B class we can take, as a very rough approximation,

$$M = 10, \quad \rho = \tfrac{1}{10}.$$

This would give

$$K = 1{\cdot}4 \text{ km.},$$

or about one-third of the observed value. Of course it is not impossible that on the average single stars have larger masses and

higher densities than spectroscopic doubles. Still, at the present stage of the question it is hardly a correct representation of the facts to say that Einstein's theory explains the observed systematic motion of the B stars.*

14. We will now compute the effect on the gravitational field of an axial rotation of the sun. The velocity of rotation may be $d\theta = c\omega dt$, or $\dot{\theta} = \omega$, and we will neglect quantities of the order of ω^2. To the values of T_{ij} previously computed we must now add

$$T_{24} = -r^2\rho \cos^2\phi \,.\, \omega.$$

For reasons of symmetry all g_{ij} must be either zero or of the order of ω^2 at least, only excepting g_{24}. The G_{ij} also remain unaltered, with the exception of

$$G_{24} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 g_{24}}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{2r^2}\left[\frac{\partial^2 g_{24}}{\partial\phi^2} + \tan\phi\frac{\partial g_{24}}{\partial\phi}\right].$$

We have thus the new equation

$$G_{24} = -\kappa T_{24}.$$

We put

$$g_{24} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}\omega\cos^2\phi \,.\, \chi,$$

where χ is a function of r alone.

The equation then becomes

$$\chi'' - \frac{2\chi}{r^2} = -4\pi r^2\rho, \tag{41}$$

from which we find easily

$$\chi = \tfrac{1}{3}r^2[N - n(r)] + \tfrac{1}{3}\frac{p(r)}{r},$$

where we have put

$$p(r) = 4\pi\int_0^r r^4\rho dr.$$

Outside the sun, for $r \geqq R$, $p(r)$ becomes a constant $p(r) = P$, and we have

$$g_{24} = \tfrac{1}{3}\lambda^2 P\frac{\omega\cos^2\phi}{r} = \frac{b\cos^2\phi}{r}. \tag{42}$$

To compute the effect on the curvature of the rays of light, we can neglect the ordinary gravitational field, since we require only the terms of the lowest order. We have thus

$$0 = ds^2 = -d\sigma^2 + 2\frac{b\cos^2\phi}{r}d\theta \,.\, cdt + c^2dt^2.$$

* Compare a paper by Freundlich, "Ueber die Gravitationsverschiebung der Spektrallinien bei Fixsternen," *A.N.*, 4826, and its criticism by Seeliger, *A.N.*, 4829. It should be pointed out that *all* stars appear to show a slight systematic displacement towards the red.

Consider a ray of light in the equatorial plane, so that $\cos^2\phi = 1$. Then we find, if we neglect b^2,

$$v = \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = c \pm cb\frac{\sin V}{r^2}. \tag{43}$$

The upper sign must be taken for light travelling in the direction of increasing θ. By the same reasoning as before, we find for the equation of the ray of light

$$\left(1 \mp \frac{b}{ar}\right) r \sin V = a. \tag{44}$$

Comparing with (38), we find that we have only to replace γ by $\pm\frac{b}{ar}$, *i.e.* $2\lambda_0^2$ by $\mp\frac{b}{a}$. We have therefore

$$x = \mp\frac{b}{a^2}\cos V. \tag{45}$$

This deviation is very much smaller than that produced by the ordinary gravitational field, which is given by (39). The ratio is

$$l = \mp\frac{b}{2a\lambda_0^2} = \mp\frac{2P\omega}{3am}.$$

The ratio P/m depends on the distribution of mass within the sun; it is greater for a homogeneous sun than for one with a central condensation. For the case of homogeneity we have

$$\frac{P}{m} = \tfrac{3}{5}R^2.$$

Therefore, if we take $a = R$, we have

$$l = \mp\tfrac{2}{5}R\omega.$$

This is a very small fraction. For the sun it is about 1/400,000.

15. We will now consider the motion of an infinitesimal planet in the gravitational field of the sun. From the last article it has appeared that the rotation of the sun produces a g_{24} which is of the order $\frac{3}{2}$, ω being of the order $\frac{1}{2}$. It will appear later that this is a particular case of a general result.

For the field of n moving bodies (with moderate velocities) it is possible to choose a system of reference so that the g_{ij} have the values, to the required order of accuracy (for rectangular coordinates),

$$\left\{\begin{array}{cccc} -1+\gamma & 0 & 0 & \chi_1 \\ 0 & -1+\gamma & 0 & \chi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1+\gamma & \chi_3 \\ \chi_1 & \chi_2 & \chi_3 & 1+\gamma, \end{array}\right. \tag{46}$$

where γ is of the first order and χ_i of the order $\frac{3}{2}$. The equations (6) then become

$$(47)\quad \begin{cases} \dfrac{d^2x_i}{c^2dt^2} + \frac{1}{2}\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x_i} + 2\dot{x}_i\sum_p{}'\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x_p}\dot{x}_p - \frac{1}{2}\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial x_i}\phi^2 + \frac{3}{2}\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{c\partial t}\dot{x}_i \\ \qquad\qquad + \sum_p{}'\left(\dfrac{\partial\chi_i}{\partial x_p} - \dfrac{\partial\chi_p}{\partial x_i}\right)\dot{x}_p + \dfrac{\partial\chi_i}{c\partial t}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\phi^2 = \sum_p{}'\dot{x}_p^2.$$

If we consider the field of the sun only, which is at rest at the origin of co-ordinates, and for the moment neglect the axial rotation, then we can use the equations (17) of article 9. Taking polar co-ordinates, these become

$$(48)\quad \begin{cases} \dfrac{d^2r}{c^2dt^2} - r\cos^2\phi\left(\dfrac{d\theta}{cdt}\right)^2 - r\left(\dfrac{d\phi}{cdt}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial r} \\ \qquad = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\gamma' + (\gamma' - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha')\dot{r}^2 + [(\beta-\alpha)r + \tfrac{1}{2}r^2\beta'](\cos^2\phi\dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2), \\ \dfrac{d^2\theta}{c^2dt^2} + \dfrac{2}{r}\dfrac{dr}{cdt}\dfrac{d\theta}{cdt} - 2\tan\phi\dfrac{d\theta}{cdt}\dfrac{d\phi}{cdt} + \dfrac{\sec^2\phi}{2r^2}\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\theta} = (\gamma' - \beta')\dot{r}\dot{\theta}, \\ \dfrac{d^2\phi}{c^2dt^2} + \dfrac{2}{r}\dfrac{dr}{cdt}\dfrac{d\phi}{cdt} + \sin\phi\cos\phi\left(\dfrac{d\theta}{cdt}\right)^2 + \dfrac{1}{2r^2}\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\phi} = (\gamma' - \beta')\dot{r}\dot{\phi}. \end{cases}$$

In the right-hand members we have supposed that α, β, γ are functions of r alone. The left-hand members put equal to zero are the well-known equations of Newtonian mechanics.

From the last equation it appears that, in case γ does not depend on ϕ, ϕ remains zero when at one time $\phi = \dot{\phi} = 0$. The orbit is plane. We can thus always take $\phi = 0$. The first two equations then are, if we also take $\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\theta} = 0$:—

$$(49)\quad \begin{cases} \ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma' = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\gamma' + (\gamma' - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha')\dot{r}^2 + [(\beta-\alpha)r + \tfrac{1}{2}r^2\beta']\dot{\theta}^2, \\ \ddot{\theta} + \dfrac{2}{r}\dot{r}\dot{\theta} = (\gamma' - \beta')\dot{r}\dot{\theta}. \end{cases}$$

Now put

$$r^2\dot{\theta} = G.$$

The second of the equations (49) then is

$$\frac{1}{G}\frac{dG}{cdt} = (\gamma' - \beta')\dot{r} = \frac{d}{cdt}(\gamma - \beta)$$

from which

$$(50)\qquad G = G_0 e^{\gamma - \beta}.$$

This is the integral of areas (Kepler's second law) for the new theory. If we wished to make the area described in the unit of time a constant, we would have to introduce the condition $\gamma=\beta$. We will, however, not investigate the corresponding system of reference, but restrict ourselves to the systems A and B of article 11. For these two systems we will derive the analogue of Kepler's third law for the special case that the orbit is circular. Then $\dot{r}=0$, and the second equation of (49) gives $\dot{\theta}=$ a constant. Then from the first of (49) we have

$$r\dot{\theta}^2\left[1+\beta-\alpha+\tfrac{1}{2}r\beta'\right]=\tfrac{1}{2}\gamma'(1-\alpha),$$

or

$$r^3\dot{\theta}^2=\tfrac{1}{2}r^2\gamma'\left[1-\beta-\tfrac{1}{2}r\beta'\right];$$

or, in the more usual astronomical notation,

$$a^3n^2=\tfrac{1}{2}r^2c^2\gamma'\left[1-\beta-\tfrac{1}{2}r\beta'\right]. \tag{51}$$

For the two systems A and B we find

$$\begin{cases} \text{A.} & a^3n^2=k^2m. \\ \text{B.} & a^3n^2=k^2m\left(1-3\dfrac{\lambda_0^2}{a}\right). \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

Thus in the system A Kepler's third law is exact (for a circular orbit). The transformation-formula (36) transforms A to B.

16. We will now use the system B.* The equations then become

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d^2r}{dt^2}-r\left(\dfrac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2+\dfrac{1}{r^2}=k^2m\left[\dfrac{4\lambda_0^2}{r^3}+3\dfrac{\dot{r}^2}{r^2}-\dot{\theta}^2\right], \\ \dfrac{d^2\theta}{dt^2}+\dfrac{2}{r}\dfrac{dr}{dt}\dfrac{d\theta}{dt}=k^2m\cdot\dfrac{4\dot{r}\dot{\theta}}{r^2}. \end{cases} \tag{53}$$

These equations we treat in the same way as the equations without the right-hand members are usually treated. We first derive the integrals of living force and of areas. These are

$$\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2+r^2\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2-k^2m\left(\frac{2}{r}-\frac{1}{a_0}\right)=k^2m\left[\frac{6\lambda_0^2}{a_0r}-\frac{10\lambda_0^2}{r^2}\right], \tag{54}$$

$$r^2\frac{d\theta}{dt}=k\sqrt{m}\sqrt{p_0}\left(1-\frac{4\lambda_0^2}{r}\right), \tag{55}$$

where a_0 and p_0 are constants of integration. We then eliminate the time to get the equation of the orbit. We find

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\theta}\right)^2+r^2-\frac{2r^3}{p_1}+\frac{r^4}{a_0p_0}=6\lambda_0^2\frac{r^2}{p_1}, \tag{56}$$

* See also de Sitter, "Planetary Motion and the Motion of the Moon according to Einstein's Theory," *Proceedings of the Academy of Sciences, Amsterdam,* 1916 June.

where

$$p_1 = p_0\left(1 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{a_0}\right).$$

The integration of (56) gives

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1 + e\cos(g\theta - \varpi)}{p} \tag{57}$$

where

$$g = 1 - \frac{3\lambda_0^2}{p}, \tag{58}$$

and

$$p = g^2 p_1, \quad a = a_0 - \lambda_0^2.$$

The equation (57) shows that the orbit is an ellipse with a moving perihelion. The motion of the perihelion during one period of revolution is

$$2\pi(1 - g) = \frac{3\lambda_0^2}{p} \cdot 2\pi.$$

In the system A the orbit is not an ellipse; the equations corresponding to (56) must be integrated by means of elliptic functions.*

If in (55) we introduce instead of p_0 the final elements a and p, we find

$$r^2\frac{d\theta}{dt} = k\sqrt{m}\sqrt{p}\left(1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda_0^2}{a} + 3\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p} - 4\frac{\lambda_0^2}{r}\right).$$

For a circle this becomes ($r = p = a$),

$$a^2\frac{d\theta}{dt} = k\sqrt{m}\sqrt{a}\left(1 - \tfrac{3}{2}\frac{\lambda_0^2}{a}\right),$$

which is the same as (52) above.

17. The left-hand members of (48) or (53) put equal to zero are the equations of elliptic motion, if in (48) we take $\gamma = -\frac{2k^2m}{c^2r}$. The right-hand members can be considered as perturbing forces. If S and T denote the radial and transversal perturbing forces, the equations are

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d^2r}{dt^2} - r\left(\dfrac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 + \dfrac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r} = k^2 m\mathrm{S}, \\ \dfrac{1}{r}\dfrac{d}{dt}\left(r^2\dfrac{d\theta}{dt}\right) + \dfrac{1}{r}\dfrac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta} = k^2 m\mathrm{T}. \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

We take

$$\gamma = \frac{2\Omega}{c^2} + \gamma_2.$$

* See Einstein III., and Droste, *Proceedings . . . Amsterdam*, 1916 May.

Then we have, if we take the general case, in which γ also depends on θ and ϕ,

$$(60)\quad \begin{cases} \lambda_0^2 S = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2' + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial r} + \alpha\dot{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha'\dot{r}^2 + [(\beta-\alpha)r + \frac{1}{2}r^2\beta']\dot{\theta}^2, \\ \lambda_0^2 T = -\dfrac{1}{2r}\dfrac{\delta\gamma_2}{\partial\theta} + \dfrac{\beta}{2r}\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\theta} + (\dot{\gamma} - \beta'\dot{r})r\dot{\theta}, \end{cases}$$

where we have put

$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial r}\dot{r} + \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\theta}\dot{\theta} + \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\phi}\dot{\phi}.$$

In our case, of course, we have $\dot{\gamma} = \gamma'\dot{r}$, and also $\dfrac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\theta} = 0$. S must be an even function of $\dot{r}$ and $r\dot{\theta}$, and T must be an uneven function of $r\dot{\theta}$. Both are of the first order. The only possible forms are thus

$$S_1 = \frac{r^2\dot{\theta}^2}{r^2}, \quad S_2 = \frac{\dot{r}^2}{r^2}, \quad S_3 = \frac{2\lambda_0^2}{r^3}, \quad T_1 = \frac{\dot{r}r\dot{\theta}}{r^2}.$$

We have for the two systems

$$(61)\quad \begin{cases} \text{A.} & S = -2S_1 + 3S_2 + S_3, \quad T = 2T_1. \\ \text{B.} & S = -S_1 + 3S_2 + 2S_3, \quad T = 4T_1. \end{cases}$$

The "perturbations" produced by these forces are: *

$$\delta a: \text{ from } S_1: \quad -\tfrac{2}{3}\lambda_0^2(1-e^2)\frac{a^3}{r^3}$$

$$S_2: \quad \tfrac{2}{3}\lambda_0^2(1-e^2)\frac{a^3}{r^3} - 2\lambda^2\frac{a^2}{r^2}e\cos u$$

$$S_3: \quad -\frac{4\lambda_0^2}{(1-e^2)^2}(e\cos v + \tfrac{1}{4}e^2\cos 2v)$$

$$T_1: \quad -\tfrac{2}{3}\lambda_0^2(1-e^2)\frac{a^3}{r^3}.$$

$$\delta e: \text{ from } S_1, S_2, S_3: \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{1-e^2}{ae} \times \text{corresponding term in } \delta a$$

$$T_1: \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{1-e^2}{ae}\cdot\delta a + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{a}\cos v.$$

$$\delta p: \text{ from } S_1, S_2, S_3: \textit{zero}$$

$$T_1: \quad -2\lambda_0^2 e\cos v.$$

* See also de Sitter, "The Bearing of the Principle of Relativity on Gravitational Astronomy," *M.N.*, 1911, vol. lxxi. pp. 403-404. As there are some misprints on these pages, the formulas are here repeated. What is called S_1 in that paper is $S_1 + S_2$ in the present notation.

$e\delta\varpi$: from S_1 : $-\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p}[\sin v + \frac{1}{2}e \sin 2v + e^2(\sin v - \frac{1}{3}\sin^3 v) + ev]$

S_2 : $-\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{3}e^2 \sin^3 v$

S_3 : $-\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p}[2 \sin v + \frac{1}{2}e \sin 2v + ev]$

T_1 : $\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p}[-\frac{1}{2}e \sin 2v + \frac{1}{3}e^2 \sin^3 v + ev]$

$\delta\epsilon_1$: from S_1 : $-\frac{2\lambda_0^2}{a\sqrt{1-e^2}}(v + e \sin v)$

S_2 : $\frac{2\lambda_0^2}{a}\left(u - \frac{v}{\sqrt{1-e^2}}\right) + \frac{2\lambda_0^2}{a\sqrt{1-e^2}} e \sin v$

S_3 : $-\frac{4\lambda_0^2}{a\sqrt{1-e^2}}v$

T_1 : *zero*.

In these formulas u is the excentric and v the true anomaly. The formulas are not developments in powers of e, but are rigorous for all values of e. We have put

$$\delta\epsilon = \delta\epsilon_1 + \frac{e^2}{1+\sqrt{1-e^2}}\delta\varpi.$$

The only secular perturbation is a motion of the perihelion. This is for the two systems

A. $\delta\varpi = (+2 - 1 + 2)\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p} \cdot v = 3\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p} \cdot v,$

B. $\delta\varpi = (+1 - 2 + 4)\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p} \cdot v = 3\frac{\lambda_0^2}{p} \cdot v,$

the same for both systems and the same as has been found in the preceding article by a different reasoning.

The "periodic perturbations" all have periods which are submultiples of the period of revolution. They will for the greater part be absorbed in elliptic motion by a slight alteration of the meaning of the elements a, e, etc. The remainder will, of course, be different for the two systems, but by the formula of transformation (36) the two perturbed orbits must become identical. To verify this would require rather long developments, which we will take for granted. All these periodic terms are, of course, extremely small, and far beyond the reach of verification by the observations.

18. Finally, we will consider the effect of the axial rotation of the sun. We have then to add to the previously used values of g_{ij}

$$g_{24} = \frac{b\cos^2\phi}{r}.$$

By the equations (47) we find easily that we must add to the right-hand members of (48) the terms

$$\frac{b\cos^2\phi}{r^2}\dot{\theta}, \qquad -\frac{b}{r^4}\cdot\dot{r} - \frac{2b\tan\phi}{r^3}\cdot\dot{\phi}, \qquad \frac{b\sin 2\phi}{r^3}\dot{\theta}.$$

Take a planet moving in the equatorial plane of the sun. Then $\phi = \dot{\phi} = 0$, and we have to add to the perturbing forces

$$\lambda_0^2\delta S = \frac{b\dot{\theta}}{r^2}, \quad \lambda_0^2\delta T = -\frac{b\dot{r}}{r^3}.$$

For $\dot{r}$ and $\dot{\theta}$ we can use the unperturbed values $\dot{r} = \frac{\lambda_0 e\sin v}{\sqrt{p}}$, $r^2\dot{\theta} = \lambda_0\sqrt{p}$. Thus we find, substituting the value of b, and remembering that $\lambda_0^2 = \lambda^2 m$

$$\delta S = \frac{b\sqrt{p}}{\lambda_0 r^4} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{\lambda P\omega}{m}\frac{\sqrt{p}}{r^4} = \frac{h}{r^4}$$

$$\delta T = -\frac{be\sin v}{\lambda_0 r^3\sqrt{p}} = -\frac{he\sin v}{pr^3}.$$

The effect on the elements includes a secular motion of the perihelion, which is given by

$$ed\varpi = -\frac{h}{p^2}[(1+e)\cos v + 2e]dv,$$

from which

$$\delta_1\varpi = -\frac{2h}{p^2}v. \tag{62}$$

We can take $\lambda_0 = a^{\frac{3}{2}}\nu$, where $\nu = n/c$. Thus we have

$$\delta_1\varpi = -\tfrac{8}{3}\lambda_0^2\frac{\omega}{\nu}\cdot\frac{P}{m}\cdot\frac{1}{a^3(1-e^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\cdot v.$$

The ratio of this to the motion of the perihelion found above is, if we take $\frac{P}{m} = \frac{2}{5}R^2$ (*i.e.* for a homogeneous sun),

$$\frac{\delta_1\varpi}{\delta\varpi} = -\frac{8}{15}\frac{\omega}{\nu}\frac{R^2}{a^2\sqrt{1-e^2}},$$

which is a very small fraction. For Mercury it is 0·000262. We can thus entirely neglect the effect of the axial rotation of the sun.

19. The only effect on planetary motion, which comes at all within the reach of verification by the observations, is the secular motion of the perihelia. These are, per century :—

	$d\tilde{\omega}$.	$ed\tilde{\omega}$.
Mercury	+42″·9	+8″·82
Venus	+ 8·6	+0·05
Earth	+ 3·8	+0·07
Mars	+ 1·3[5]	+0·13

I adopt the theoretical values corresponding to Newton's theory from Newcomb.* The observed values I also take from Newcomb. As given there, they imply the value of the constant of precession used by him in their derivation, *i.e.* 5023″·71 (for 1850·0). I have adopted 5024″·90. The comparison, then, stands thus:

		Observed.	Theory.	Difference.	Differences as given by Newcomb.
Mercury	$ed\tilde{\omega}$	+118″·00	+118″·58	−0″·58±0″·43	+8″·48
	$id\,\Omega$	− 92·04	− 92·50	+0·46± ·52	+0·61
Venus	$ed\tilde{\omega}$	+ 0·28	+ 0·39	−0·11± ·25	−0·05
	$id\,\Omega$	−105·47	−106·00	+0·53± ·17	+0·60
Earth	$ed\tilde{\omega}$	+ 19·46	+ 19·46	0·00± ·13	+0·10
Mars	$ed\tilde{\omega}$	+149·44	+148·93	+0·51± ·35	+0·75
	$id\,\Omega$	− 72·64	− 72·63	−0·01± ·22	+0·03

The mean errors have been adopted from Newcomb. The differences, as found by Newcomb, are added for comparison. Though some of the differences between the observed values and those given by the new theory still exceed their mean errors, the agreement is satisfactory on the whole. Only the node of Venus still shows a considerable discrepancy. The differences have no tendency to show the same sign; there is thus not the slightest reason to adopt a rotation of the system of the fixed stars. Also Seeliger's explanation of the anomalous motion of the perihelion of Mercury by the attraction of nebulous matter in the neighbourhood of the sun now becomes superfluous. The node of Venus, of course, remains outstanding, but none of the hypotheses put forward in explanation of the anomalies in the motions of the inner planets can put it right without at the same time introducing greater discrepancies in other elements.

Domburg:
1916 *August.*

* *Astronomical Constants*, p. 109. See also de Sitter, "The Secular Variations of the Elements of the four Inner Planets," *Observatory*, 1913 July, p. 290.

An Inequality in the Period of the Eclipsing Variable RZ Cassiopeiæ.

By Raymond S. Dugan, Ph.D. (Plate 16.)

(*Communicated by Dr. H. N. Russell.*)

The star B.D.+69°·179, since its recognition in 1906 as an eclipsing variable, has been very frequently observed by quite a large number of astronomers, with a considerable variety in the methods used. The star is bright, the period of revolution short, and the duration of eclipse brief, making the star an inviting object for all observers. Personally, I took up the observation of this star in 1911 with a desire to strengthen the evidence concerning the existence or non-existence of an obser vable secondary minimum, and to measure the minor effects of ellipticity and interchange of radiation. Graff* concluded from the observations of minima between 1906 and 1913 that the period of light-variation was not constant. This paper has grown out of the necessity of investigating the constancy of the period before proceeding to the calculation of the phases of my observations. The paper, in its method and arrangement, will be found quite similar to two previous papers on the periods of RT Persei and Z Draconis.†

In addition to the published observations since 1906 and my own recent observations, I received, very gratefully, a large number of the very accurate estimates by Miss Leavitt of the brightness of the star on many photographs taken at Harvard from 1896 to 1915.

In all cases where the author's detailed observations are available, I have drawn on transparent paper a mean curve formed from all the observations during eclipse, and have applied this to a plot of the observations during each eclipse. The concluded time of mid-eclipse often differs by a few minutes from the time concluded by the observer himself. I have probably obtained more homogeneous material for the discussion in hand than would have been the case had I used the times concluded by the individual observers. Systems of estimating the time of minimum differ. This procedure does no violence to the conviction that the observer understands his own observations best.

I shall give, briefly, the sources, the abbreviations used in the plot, the method of observation, the treatment of the observations, and the method of weighting. ‡

1. Harvard. (H.) Estimates to the nearest five-hundredths of a magnitude from photographs made with various instruments and with exposures ranging from 12 to 108 minutes. The magnitudes were corrected by a preliminary mean curve to a

* *Mittl. Hamburger Sternwarte*, Nr. 13.

† *M. N.*, vol. lxxv. pp. 692 and 702.

‡ Yendell, *A.J.*, vol. 28, p. 126, was overlooked, and Venturi Ginori, *Rivista Astron.*, Anno 7, p. 241, was not accessible.

uniform, short exposure. The observations were weighted according to the steepness and reliability of the parts of the mean curve where the observations fell. These weights are comparable with each other, but should be divided by 4 or 5 to make them comparable with the weights of the later minima. The weights of the later minima, where the observations are published in detail, indicate the accuracy with which the observations by their number and distribution on one or both branches of the eclipse curve determine the time of mid-eclipse. Unit weight has been uniformly given to all published times of minima unaccompanied by the individual observations or by weights, there being no way to judge of their comparative accuracy.

2. Müller or Münch. (M.) Zöllner photometer. Observations published.*

3. Nijland. (N.) Eye estimates. Times of minima published.†

4. Parkhurst and Jordan. (PJ.) Focal and extrafocal photographs. Times of minima published.‡

5. Jordan.§ (J.) Extrafocal photographs. Times of minima.

6. Graff. (G.) Eye estimates. Observations published.‖

7. Wendell. (W.) Pickering polarizing photometer. Observations published.¶

8. Beljawsky. (Bl.) Multiple photographic exposures with yellow and violet filters. Magnitudes estimated by comparison with neighbouring stars. Observations in full.**

9. Bemporad. (Bm.) Wedge photometer. Observations in full.††

10. Padova. (P.) Wedge photometer. Observations published,‡‡ but not accessible.

11. Lehnert. (Le.) Eye estimates. Times of minima published.§§

12. Lazzarino. (La.) Wedge photometer. Observations in full.‖‖

13. Hoffmeister. (Ho.) Eye estimates. Observations published,¶¶ but published times of minima were used.***

14. Dugan. (D.) Pickering polarizing photometer. Observations soon to be published in Contributions from the Princeton University Observatory, No. 4.

* *A. N.*, Bd. 171, p. 357; Bd. 183, p. 76.
† *A. N.*, Bd. 176, p. 171. ‡ *Ap. J.*, vol. 26, p. 251.
§ *Publ. Allegheny Obs.*, vol. iii., No. 16.
‖ *Mittl. Hamburger Sternwarte*, Nr. 13.
¶ *Harvard Annals*, vol. lxix. part 2.
** *Pulkova, Mitteilungen*, 3, Nr. 31.
†† *Mem. Spettr. Ital.*, Ser. 2, vol. 2, p. 153.
‡‡ *Mem. Spettr. Ital.*, Ser. 2, vol. 2, p. 57.
§§ *A. N.*, Bd. 192, p. 201; Bd. 194, p. 165.
‖‖ *Mem. Spettr. Ital.*, Ser. 2, vol. 2, p. 123.
¶¶ *A. N.*, Bd. 202, p. 42.
*** *A. N.*, Bd. 197, p. 317.

In Table I. the second column contains the Greenwich Heliocentric Mean Time of minimum estimated from the observations made during the eclipse. The unit of $O-C_1$ is $0^d{\cdot}001$. The epoch, E, and the calculated time of minimum, C_1, are referred to Graff's elements:

Min. 1906 May $24^d\ 10^h\ 9^m\ 33^s$ Gr. H.M.T. $+1^d\ 4^h\ 41^m\ 9^s{\cdot}6$ E
$= 2417355{\cdot}4233$ J.D. $+1^d{\cdot}19525$.

Table I.

J.D.	O. G.H.M.T.	Ty.	Epoch.	$O-C_1$.	Wt.	Source.
2413886	·802	96	−2902	−6	4	Harvard Phot.
4149	·763	97	2682	0	3	,,
5673	·711	01	1407	+4	2	,,
5740	·635	01	1351	−6	4	,,
6004	·790	02	1130	−1	1	,,
6053	·787	02	1089	−9	1	,,
6132	·673	03	1023	−10	3	,,
6168	·537	,,	993	−3	3	,,
6168	·528	,,	993	−12	1	,,
6180	·483	,,	983	−10	4	,,
6217	·534	,,	952	−11	3	,,
6223	·506	,,	947	−16	2	,,
6365	·742	,,	828	−14	4	,,
6420	·732	,,	782	−6	3	,,
6468	·537	,,	742	−11	3	,,
6475	·717	,,	736	−2	3	,,
6487	·665	04	726	−7	2	,,
6498	·431	,,	717	+2	3	,,
6523	·527	,,	696	−2	1	,,
6702	·809	,,	546	−8	3	,,
6769	·732	,,	490	−19	2	,,
6793	·649	,,	470	−7	4	,,
6824	·732	,,	444	0	1	,,
6836	·679	,,	434	−6	3	,,
6854	·606	05	419	−8	2	,,
6854	·601	,,	419	−13	1	,,
6939	·481	,,	348	+5	1	,,
7045	·846	05	−259	−8	2	,, *

* Another exposure on 7045 gives $O-C_1 = -81$.

TABLE I.—*continued*.

J.D.	O. G.H.M.T.	Ty.	Epoch.	O − C_1.	Wt.	Source.
2417355	·426	06	0	+3	4	Müller
7367	·367	,,	+10	−9	1	Münch
7368	·572	,,	11	+1	1	,,
7373	·352	,,	15	−1	2	Müller
7410	·403	,,	46	−2	1	Nijland
7417	·573	,,	52	−3	1	,,
7429	·526	,,	62	−3	1	,,
7435	·502	,,	67	−3	1	,,
7441	·482	,,	72	+1	1	,,
7437	·896	,,	69	0	1	Park. and Jordan
7454	·630	,,	83	+1	1	,,
7453	·430	,,	82	−4	3	Münch
7453	·433	,,	82	−1	4	Graff
7459	·405	,,	87	−5	2	,,
7478	·529	,,	103	−5	1	,,
7479	·735	,,	104	+6	1	Park. and Jordan
7495	·273	,,	117	+6	1	Nijland
7502	·433	,,	123	−6	1	,,
7564	·587	,,	175	−5	1	Park. and Jordan
7582	·519	07	190	−2	1	Nijland
7587	·303	,,	194	+1	1	,,
7599	·261	,,	204	+7	1	,,
7630	·333	,,	230	+3	1	,,
7649	·449	,,	246	−5	1	,,
7630	·334	,,	230	+4	2	Graff
7667	·389	,,	261	+6	2	,,
7685	·308	,,	276	−4	1	Nijland
7717	·584	,,	303	0	2	Wendell
7742	·683	,,	324	−1	2	,,
7771	·377	,,	348	+7	1	Nijland
7772	·562	,,	349	−3	1	,,
7777	·347	,,	353	+1	1	,,
7778	·540	,,	354	−1	1	,,
7815	·603	,,	385	+9	1	,,
7791	·696	07	+365	+7	1	Park. and Jordan

TABLE I.—*continued.*

J.D.	O. G.H.M.T.	Ty.	Epoch.	O−C$_1$.	Wt.	Source.
2417832	·331	07	+399	+3	3	Graff
7838	·302	,,	404	−2	2	,,
7839	·502	,,	405	+2	2	,,
7840	·697	,,	406	+2	1	Park. and Jordan
7864	·600	,,	426	0	5	Wendell
7870	·577	,,	431	+1	2	,,
8011	·616	08	549	0	1	,,
8035	·526	,,	569	+5	2	,,
8041	·502	,,	574	+5	2	,,
8207	·643	,,	713	+6	2	,,
8237	·520	,,	738	+2	2	,,
8384	·537	09	861	+3	3	,,
8390	·516	,,	866	+6	2	,,
8421	·585	,,	892	−1	2	,,
8507	·645	,,	964	0	1	,,
8481	·356	,,	942	+7	4	Belj. Phot. Yel.
8487	·331	,,	947	+6	3	,,
8542	·315	,,	993	+8	5	,,
8573	·385	,,	1019	+2	4	,,
8481	·356	,,	942	+7	4	Belj. Phot. Viol.
8487	·328	,,	947	+3	2	,,
8494	·501	,,	953	+4	2	,,
8536	·335	,,	988	+5	4	,,
8542	·307	,,	993	0	3	,,
8573	·388	,,	1019	+5	3	,,
8591	·319	,,	1034	+7	5	,,
8592	·513	,,	1035	+6	1	Wendell
8733	·551	10	1153	+4	4	Graff
8763	·431	,,	1178	+3	3	,,
8721	·597	,,	1143	+2	4	Wendell
8764	·624	,,	1179	+1	2	,,
8776	·581	,,	1189	+5	1	,,
8922	·406	,,	1311	+10	2	Bemporad
8947	·502	,,	1332	+6	1	,,
8983	·364	10	+1362	+10	2	,,

TABLE I.—*continued.*

J.D.	O. G.H.M.T.	Ty.	Epoch.	O − C_1.	Wt.	Source.
2419069	·408	11	+1434	−4	1	Padova
9063	·439	,,	1429	+3	2	Bemporad
9087	·349	,,	1449	+8	4	,,
9094	·514	,,	1455	+2	1	,,
9130	·375	,,	1485	+6	4	,,
9173	·405	,,	1521	+6	4	,,
9216	·431	,,	1557	+4	4	,,
9259	·457	,,	1593	+1	4	,,
9265	·434	,,	1598	+2	4	,,
9271	·413	,,	1603	+4	4	,,
9328	·779	,,	1651	−2	1	Jordan
9326	·399	,,	1649	+8	1	Lehnert
9381	·378	,,	1695	+6	1	,,
9424	·404	12	1731	+3	1	,,
9442	·332	,,	1746	+2	1	,,
9492	·531	,,	1788	+1	2	Wendell
9534	·375	,,	1823	+11	1	Padova
9541	·536	,,	1829	0	1	,,
9565	·445	,,	1849	+4	1	,,
9608	·469	,,	1885	−1	1	Lehnert
9632	·372	,,	1905	−3	1	,,
9632	·367	,,	1905	−8	1	Lazzarino
9638	·362	,,	1910	+11	1	,,
9644	·329	,,	1915	+1	1	,,
9650	·311	,,	1920	+7	1	,,
9675	·397	,,	1941	−7	2	,,
9681	·377	,,	1946	−3	4	Graff
9736	·354	,,	1992	−7	1	,,
9675	·406	,,	1941	+2	1	Lehnert
9687	·357	,,	1951	+1	1	,,
9681	·380	,,	1946	0	2	Lazzarino
9687	·360	,,	1951	+4	3	,,
9724	·400	,,	1982	−9	1	,,
9736	·369	12	+1992	+8	2	,,

TABLE I.—*continued.*

J.D.	O. G.H.M.T.	Ty.	Epoch.	O−C$_1$.	Wt.	Source.
2419742	·340	12	+1997	+3	1	Lazzarino
9748	·316	,,	2002	+2	1	,,
9773	·408	13	2023	−6	1	Graff
9778	·184	,,	2027	−11	1	,,
9797	·322	,,	2043	+3	1	,,
2420038	·752	,,	2245	−7	1	Jordan
0018	·439	,,	2228	−1	3	Hoffmeister
0023	·412	,,	2233	−5	2	,,
0042	·346	,,	2248	+1	1	,,
0054	·300	,,	2258	+2	2	,,
0066	·251	,,	2268	+1	2	,,
0453	·504	14	2952	−7	1	Dugan
0508	·490	15	2638	−3	1	,,
0527	·613	,,	2654	−4	2	,,
0557	·495	,,	2679	−3	2	,,
0949	·538	16	+3007	−3	5	,,

The spacing of Table I. indicates the groups of observations which are combined into the normals of Table II. The normals were again combined in groups, as indicated by the spacing of Table II.

TABLE II.

E.	O−C$_1$.	Wt.	Source.	O−C.
−2790	−3·4	7	H	−0·7
1380	−2·7	6	,,	+6·7
1080	−8·0	5	,,	+0·6
975	−9·7	13	,,	−1·6
755	−6·2	18	,,	+0·6
530	−8·4	11	,,	−3·1
−380	−6·4	9	,,	−2·2
+6	+0·3	8	M	+1·3
60	−2·0	5	N	−1·4
76	+0·5	2	PJ	+0·9
82	−4·0	3	M	−3·6
86	−2·7	7	G	−2·4
104	+6·0	1	PJ	+6·2
+120	0·0	2	N	0·0

TABLE II.—*continued.*

E.	O−C$_1$.	Wt.	Source.	O−C.
+175	−5·0	1	PJ	−5·3
213	+0·8	5	N	+0·1
245	+5·0	4	G	+4·0
276	−4·0	1	N	−5·1
314	−0·5	4	W	−1·9
358	+2·6	5	N	−0·9
365	+7·0	1	PJ	+5·2
402	+1·3	7	G	−0·7
406	+2·0	1	PJ	0·0
427	+0·3	7	W	−1·8
567	+4·0	5	,,	+1·0
726	+4·0	4	,,	+0·3
871	+2·7	7	,,	−1·4
964	0·0	1	,,	−4·3
978	+5·9	16	Bl. Y	+1·5
988	+4·9	23	Bl. V	+0·5
1035	+6·0	1	W	+1·6
1160	+2·1	7	W	−2·4
1164	+3·6	7	G	−0·9
1336	+9·2	5	Bm	+4·9
1434	−4·0	1	P	−8·0
1459	+5·8	11	Bm	+1·8
1574	+3·4	20	Bm	−0·1
1651	−2·0	1	PJ	−5·2
1672	+7·0	2	Le	+3·8
1739	+2·5	2	Le	−0·3
1788	+1·0	2	W	−1·5
1834	+5·0	3	P	+2·7
1895	−2·0	2	Le	−3·9
1922	−0·5	6	La	−2·2
1946	+1·5	2	Le	0·0
1949	+2·4	5	La	+1·0
1955	−3·8	5	G	−5·2
1993*	+2·4	5	La	+1·2
+2031	−4·7	3	G	−5·6

* Yendell's observations give the normal:

+1978	−4·3	3		−5·6



Inequality in the period of RZ Cassiopeiæ.

TABLE II.—*continued.*

E.	O−C$_1$.	Wt.	Source.	O−C.
+2245	−7·0	1	J	−6·2
2249	−0·6	10	Ho	+0·2
2592	−7·0	1	D	−3·4
2661	−3·4	5	D	+0·8
+3007	−2·5	5	D	+4·2

The normals, plotted on the accompanying curve, though scattered, show at a glance that the period has been changing from the time of the first observation to the present, and that the assumed value of the period has been very approximately its average value during this interval. A small correction to the zero epoch is indicated. In order to make the variation more apparent, I have combined the normals since E=0 into the following points, and have marked them with crosses on the plate:—

TABLE III.

E.	O−C$_1$.	Wt.	O−C.
+106	−0·3	38	−0·1
381	+1·0	26	−0·8
637	+4·2	9	+0·9
967	+4·8	47	+0·5
1288	+4·7	32	+0·3
1598	+3·4	25	0·0
1926	+0·6	30	−1·0
2177	−2·6	9	−2·4
+2812	−3·3	11	+2·1

These points lie very close to a sine curve. The photographic points earlier than E=0 help to fix the period and amplitude of the sine curve quite closely. The early Harvard observations and the latest 'D' minima show that the curve is not parabolic. The curve finally adopted has a period of 5280 periods of light variation and an amplitude of $0^d{\cdot}014$. The correction to the zero epoch is $-0^d{\cdot}0010$. Columns 5 and 4 respectively of Tables II. and III. give the residuals O−C from this curve.

The interpretation of this inequality is beset with some difficulties. If the cause is a revolution of the line of apsides, the eccentricity of the orbit is about 0·018. In that case the companion, at the epoch of my observations, goes through periastron during the early part of secondary eclipse. The secondary should be somewhat shorter than the primary and displaced about six minutes toward the following primary. There is nothing objectionable to this hypothesis in the observations, but the secondary is so shallow that its length and phase cannot be concluded with the necessary accuracy.

The ellipticity of the stars, determined by the photometric observations, is $0{\cdot}016 \pm 0{\cdot}007$. This value is in good agreement with photometric investigations of other systems composed

of stars of the same size and separation. With the assumptions that the stars are of the same figure, are of equal mass, are homogeneous, and that they rotate in the period of revolution, this ellipticity combined with an eccentricity of 0·02 would produce a revolution of the line of apsides in a period of 3700 periods of light variation. When the possibilities of oblateness of figure in addition to prolateness, of heterogeneity, and of rotation in a period different from that of revolution are considered, it will be apparent that the agreement is not at all bad.

Jordan has spectrographically determined the eccentricity to be $0{\cdot}052 \pm 0{\cdot}025$, and the longitude of periastron, counted from the node, to be $154^{\circ}{\cdot}7 \pm 20^{\circ}{\cdot}9$. These results were obtained at an epoch when the period was shortening but had not yet reached its average value. An advancement of the line of apsides from the position found by Jordan would have produced a lengthening of the period and an increasing negative displacement of the secondary minimum. The large eccentricity found by Jordan would have produced an amplitude in the curve of eclipse residuals much larger than that observed. The photometric results are thus not at all in harmony with the spectrographic results.

To add to the confusion, Wendell's photometric observations, which, however, are not at all numerous in this part of the light curve, indicate a secondary displaced about one and one-half hours toward the following primary, at about the same epoch as that of Jordan's observations.

It is very much to be hoped that careful spectrographic and photometric studies may be made simultaneously of some bright eclipsing system of large eccentricity of orbit, of large ellipticity of figure, and having a fairly deep secondary minimum.

Summary.

New elements, involving a sine term, based on numerous visual and photographic observations covering nearly 6000 periods, have been found for the eclipsing system RZ Cassiopeiæ as follows:

$$\text{Min. 1906 May } 24^{d}\ 10^{h}\ 6^{m}{\cdot}0 \text{ Gr. H.M.T.} + 1^{d}\ 4^{h}\ 41^{m}\ 9^{s}{\cdot}6\ \text{E} + 10^{m} \sin (12^{\circ} + 0^{\circ}{\cdot}068\ \text{E})$$
$$= 2417355{\cdot}4208\ \text{JD} + 1^{d}{\cdot}19525\ \text{E} + 0^{d}{\cdot}007 \sin (12^{\circ} + 0^{\circ}{\cdot}068\ \text{E}).$$

The representation of the observations is improved somewhat by adding the term $+0^{d}{\cdot}001 \sin (0^{\circ}{\cdot}150\ \text{E})$. The material is not sufficient to determine its importance. The new period is

$$1^{d}4^{h}41^{m}9^{s}{\cdot}6 + 0^{s}{\cdot}86 \cos (12^{\circ} + 0^{\circ}{\cdot}068\text{E}).$$

The ellipticity of figure determined by the light curve is large enough, if we consider the stars of the same figure and homogeneous, and the period of rotation equal to that of revolution, to produce a revolution of the line of apsides in a period of the order of magnitude of the period of the sine curve, using the eccentricity found from the sine curve.

The position of the secondary minimum is not in disagreement with the position predicted from the sine curve. The shallowness of the secondary minimum makes it impossible, however, to determine whether it is displaced by the small amount expected.

The spectrographic results are not in harmony with the results of this discussion.

We can safely say that the period is changing, and that at present the secondary minimum falls very approximately midway between primary eclipses.

Preliminary Values of the Variation of Latitude at Greenwich for 1915. (Plate 17.)

(*Communicated by the Astronomer Royal.*)

The observations with the Cookson Floating Zenith-Telescope have been treated to give the variation of latitude.

This paper is a continuation of the preliminary discussion given by Mr. Jones in the *Monthly Notices* for 1915 May, and the data for star places and proper motions are those found and used in that paper.

The aberration has been assumed to be 20″·47, and no corrections have been applied for stellar parallax.

The number of plates obtained in each section (one-tenth of a year) varies from 25 to 7, with a mean of 17.

The probable error of a result from one plate is taken as ±0″·056 (*M.N.*, vol. lxxv. p. 544), and from this the result in the last column of the table is derived.

The plates, 190 in number, were measured in duplicate and reduced by Messrs. Witchell and Acton, and the resulting variation of latitude derived by Mr. Witchell.

Variation of Latitude at Greenwich in 1915.

Section.	Epoch.	Variation of Latitude.	Prob. Error.
		″	″
34	1915·07	+0·213	±·015
35	·17	+0·257	±·012
36	·27	+0·116	±·011
37	·35	+0·139	±·011
38	·43	−0·080	±·012
39	·52	−0·129	±·022
40	·57	−0·300	±·018
41	·66	−0·297	±·014
42	·77	−0·120	±·012
43	·91	+0·051	±·019
44	1916·03	+0·198	±·015

The diagram gives the variation of latitude since the beginning of the series in 1911 September to 1915 December 31.

Remarkable Meteoric Shower on June 28. By W. F. Denning.

The sky had been involved in heavy clouds during nearly all the day of June 28, but just before sunset the west opened and the sun broke through.

I went out into my garden at $10^h\ 25^m$ to watch for meteors, and in a few minutes realised that a very special shower was in progress. Large meteors came in quick succession from a radiant in the region between Boötes and Draco. They were moderately slow, white with yellowish trains, and paths rather short in the majority of cases. Several of the meteors burst or acquired a great intensification of light near the termination of their flights, and gave flashes like distant lightning.

Enlisting the services of a friend to count the number appearing, I recorded the individual paths of some of the most brilliant and best observed meteors. The following were the figures:—

Watch.				Meteors.	Sky.
h	m	h	m		
10	25 to 12		10	55	Clear—cloudy at end.
12	45 to 13		15	14	Partly cloudy.

The display seemed most active before midnight, and yielded the finest meteors, but weather conditions favoured the early part of the watch, and, moreover, the radiant point fell lower in the N.W. as the night progressed.

The occurrence of a rich shower, of unexpected character, is quite a rare event. Since 1866 November, when I began to observe meteors, I have never witnessed a new display furnishing as many as 20 meteors per hour. The attenuated nature of meteoric systems generally is such that if, during a few successive hours of watching, a man records 10 or 12 meteors from one and the same system, he is justified in considering it quite an important display. The number of meteors exhibited in our skies is, it is true, often large, and will reach 15 or 20 per hour even on ordinary dates in the last half of the year between 11^h and 16^h. But the activity on these occasions is induced by contributions from a great number of feeble streams and is very rarely attributable to the richness of any particular one. These facts are mentioned to show that an event of the kind witnessed on June 28* may be regarded as phenomenal, and one meriting full investigation.

Considerable trouble was experienced in finding the exact situation of this radiant. Though I projected a number of selected flights, upon the accuracy of which I could depend, on a 21-inch celestial globe, I found it impossible to obtain a thoroughly definite and satisfactory position. The directions were from a wide region or area of about 12° to 15° diameter. I tried to obviate the difficulty by ceasing to register the tracks, and thought that by looking intently towards the stars in the neighbourhood of the

* Only 4 meteors were observed during the night, which were directed from radiants other than the diffused area of the special shower.

radiant it might be possible to catch some nearly stationary meteors and make a good eye-estimation of the centre of divergence. The effort succeeded as regards the number and aspect of the objects observed, but the seemingly scattered nature of the radiation remained an indelible and striking feature.

The chief radiant centre or sub-centre appeared to be at 231° + 54°, and there were other positions to the westward of this. But several circumstances contributed to make this determination rather unsatisfactory.

The sky was cloudy here on June 27 and 29, so that no evidence was obtained as to whether the shower was active on the night preceding and following the rich display. On June 30 I watched during 1¼ hours from 10.15 to 11.30, when the firmament was tolerably clear, but only saw one meteor, and that was directed from the point near β Boötis. Clouds prevailed afterwards.

I cannot find that, in former years, the period about June 28 has been noted for meteoric frequency, though in 1860 and 1861 June 30 Mr. E. J. Lowe observed "many meteors." In 1885 and 1886 June 28 and on the same date in some other years I found them scarce, and certainly giving no intimation of a rich shower. Large meteors recorded on about June 24–28 in various years led me some time ago to investigate this period, and evidence was found of 54 radiants, the principal of which I tabulated (*B.A.A. Journal*, 1914 June, p. 485), and they include positions at 213° + 53° and 238° + 47° in the immediate region of the radiant area of the special shower of June 28 last.

The following are the paths of some of the meteors which were recorded:—

	G.M.T.		Mag.	From.	To.	Path.	
	h	m		° °	° °	°	
June 28	10	27	1	350 +61	0 +52	11	sl. T.
	10	33	1	271 + 1	276 − 9	11	R. w.
	10	37	3	272 − 3	276 − 9	7	slow
	10	39	2	285 +25	294 +15	13	R.
	10	41	4	318 +64½	325 +62½	4	sl.
	10	44	1	260 +19	263 +12	8	R.
	10	52	1	286 +25½	294½ +15	13	
	11	4	>1	343 + 9½	344½ + 7	2½	flash
	11	8	2	292 +58	302 +56	6	
	11	10	1	314 +44	324 +36	11	
	11	12	1	284 +27	292 +19	11	
	11	23	2	264 +40	272 +33	9	
	11	28	½	312 + 5	317 − 1½	8½	flash
	11	38	1	274 +34	293 +12	28	v. slow, 3½ sec.
	11	43	2	263 +57	276½ +55	8	
	11	49	1	169 +62	129 +57	20	swift

	G.M.T. h	m	Mag.	From. °	°	To. °	°	Path. °	
June 28	11	54	1	357	+62	4	+56	7	
	11	54	2	227½	+27	230½	+20	7½	v. slow
	11	56	2	230½	+31	232	+25	6	
	12	0	2	219	+25	190	+37	28	sl., 2¼ s. 280 – 23
	12	9	1½	209	+55½	200	+54	5	v. slow, 2½ sec.
	12	10	>1	356	+31	1	+22	10	
	12	49	4	218	+33	215½	+28	6	v. slow, 2 sec.
June 30	10	54	2	278½	+26	296	+14	21	slow

These include about one-third of the total number seen. Between $10\frac{1}{2}^h$ and midnight one observer, watching a clear sky keenly and without interruption, might have counted 40 meteors per hour, which is quite an equivalent to the number appearing during a pretty good return of the Perseids.

Duplicate observations of any of the above objects would be valuable.

The last meteor in the list observed on June 30 was also recorded by Mrs. F. Wilson at Totteridge. It passed from over Havant to Arundel at a height declining from 87 to 48 miles, path 44 miles in length, and velocity 28 miles per second.

The radiant was at 223° + 41°, and proves that the shower of β Boötids which apparently in part formed the special display on June 28 was still in action two nights later.

Soon after witnessing the display the idea occurred to me that it probably had a cometary derivation, and, after consulting tables of cometary orbits, I concluded that Pons-Winnecke's Comet of 1819–58 satisfied the conditions. The radiant was placed in the correct region and the date agreed. Moreover, the comet passed through perihelion on September 1 last year. The earth now approaches much nearer to the cometary orbit than it formerly did, perturbations by Jupiter having introduced considerable changes. Thus the perihelion distances of the comet at four returns were :

1869 = 0·7815
1875 = 0·8275
1898 = 0·9241
1915 = 0·9725

This would bring the two orbits within a few millions of miles, and sufficiently near to occasion a meteoric display. Halley's Comet has its associated shower, as first pointed out by Professor A. S. Herschel in 1874, though its nearest approach to the earth is not within $5\frac{1}{2}$ millions of miles according to his calculations. We do not know, however, at what limits of distance a comet may possibly be enabled to distribute particles of its material into our atmosphere. During their circumsolar flights the tails of certain large comets have swept the region outside their perihelia at enormous distances from the nucleus.

That Pons-Winnecke's Comet, with its short period of about 5·8 years, has not given birth to a conspicuous shower in past years is not perhaps surprising in view of the large space-interval formerly separating the orbits. Possibly, however, the shower from $213^\circ + 53^\circ$ for June 27, which I have given in the companion to the *Observatory* for the last two years, represents this cometary shower, as the elements of the orbits are nearly the same.

Bristol:
1916 *July* 15.

Errata in Mrs. Isaac Roberts' paper on the Nebula H II. 78 Leonis.

Page 649, line 7 from top, *for* X = + 29′ 3 *read* X = + 19′ 3.
,, ,, 8 ,, *for* star 12 *read* star 8.
,, ,, 12 ,, *for* Y = − 1′ 0 of star 8
read Y = − 1′ 0 sf star 8.

LIST OF ADDITIONS

TO THE LIBRARY

OF THE SOCIETY

JUNE 1915 TO JUNE 1916.

An asterisk (*) indicates that the work is an excerpt.

Abbadia, Observatoire:
Observations faites au cercle méridien en 1913. Tome 13. Publiées par A. Verschaffel.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Hendaye, 1914

Acta Mathematica. Zeitschrift, herausgegeben von G. Mittag-Leffler. Band 37, pt. 4; Band 40, pt. 1–3.
(*Purchased.*) 4to. Stockholm, 1914–1916

Adelaide Observatory:
Report of the Government Astronomer to the Board of Visitors, Nov. 1915.
(*Observatory.*) fol. Adelaide, 1915

Albany, Dudley Observatory:
*Annual Report of the Director of the Department of Meridian Astrometry, 1913–1915.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Washington

Allegheny Observatory:
Publications. Vol. 3, No. 19–21.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Lancaster, Pa., 1915

American Journal of Mathematics. Edited by Frank Morley. Published under the auspices of the Johns Hopkins University. Vol. 37, No. 3, 4; Vol. 38, No. 1, 2.
(*Editor.*) 4to. Baltimore, 1915–1916

American Journal of Science. Editor E. S. Dana [and others]. Fourth series, Vol. 39, 40, 41 (No. 234–245).
(*Editors.*) 8vo. New Haven, 1915–1916

Amsterdam, Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen:
——: Verslagen van de gewone Vergaderingen der Wis- en Natuurkundige Afdeeling. Deel 23, pt. 1, 2.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Amsterdam, 1914–1915
——: Proceedings of the section of Sciences, Vol. 17, pt. 1 and 2.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Amsterdam, 1914–1915
——: Jaarboek, 1914.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Amsterdam, 1915

Andoyer (Henri):
Nouvelles Tables Trigonométriques Fondamentales contenant les valeurs naturelles des lignes trigonométriques de centième en centième du quadrant avec vingt décimales, de neuf en neuf minutes avec dix-sept décimales, et de dix en dix secondes avec quinze décimales. Tome 1.
(*Paris University.*) 4to. Paris, 1915

Ann Arbor, Detroit Observatory of the University of Michigan:
Publications of the Astronomical Observatory, Vol. 1, pp. 73–206.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Ann Arbor, 1915

Antwerp, Société d'Astronomie:
Gazette Astronomique, éditée par la Société d'Astronomie d'Anvers. 7me. Année, 1914, No. 10, 11 (No. 83, 84).
(*Editors.*) 4to. London, 1915–1916

Arctowski (Henryk):
*Sur les Facules Solaires.
(*Author.*) 4to. Paris, 1915

Astronomical and Astrophysical Society of America:
Publications, vol. 2.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Ann Arbor, 1915

Astronomical Herald (the). Published by the Astronomical Society of Japan [in Japanese]. Vol. 8, No. 1–12; Vol. 9, No. 1.
(*Society.*) 4to. 1915–1916

Astronomical Journal. Founded by B. A. Gould. Vol. 29 (No. 678–691).
(*Editor.*) 4to. Boston, 1915–1916

Astronomie (L'.) *See* Paris, Société Astronomique de France.

Astronomische Nachrichten. Begründet von H. C. Schumacher: herausgegeben von H. Kobold. Band 201, 202 (No. 4802–4846).
(*Editor.*) 4to. Kiel, 1915–1916

—— : Literarisches Beiblatt zu den Astronomischen Nachrichten. No. 25, 26.
(*Editor.*) 4to. Kiel, 1915

Astronomischer Jahresbericht. Begründet von W. F. Wislicenus. . . . Bearbeitet im Kgl. Astronomischen Rechen-Institut zu Berlin. Band 16. Die Literatur des Jahres, 1914.
(*Rechen-Institut.*) 8vo. Berlin, 1915

Astrophysical Journal (the). An International Review of spectroscopy and astronomical physics. Edited by G. E. Hale [and others]. Vol. 41, No. 4–Vol. 43, No. 3.
(*Editors.*) 8vo. Chicago, 1915–1916
(*Another Copy purchased.*)

Athenæum (the). Journal of English and foreign literature, science, the fine arts, music, and the drama, 1915–1916. (No. 4573–4605.)
(*Editor.*) 4to. London, 1915–1916

Babbage (Henry Provost):
Memoirs and Correspondence of Major-General H. P. Babbage.
(*Author.*) 8vo. London, *n. d.*

Barcelona, Sociedad Astronomica:
Boletin, Año 6, 7. (No. 51–60.)
(*Society.*) 8vo. Barcelona, 1915–1916

Barnard (Edward Emerson):
—— : *Dark regions in the sky suggesting an obscuration of light.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Chicago, 1913
—— : *A singular dark marking on the sky.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Washington, 1915
—— : *Some dark markings on the sky and what they suggest.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Chicago, 1916
—— : *Observations of the sixth satellite of Jupiter.
(*Author.*) 4to. Albany, 1916

Basel, Naturforschende Gesellschaft:
Verhandlungen; Band 26.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Basel, 1915

Belopolsky (Aristarch):
——: *Sur les éléments de l'orbite de la Polaire [in Russian].
(*Author.*) 8vo. Petrograd, 1915
——: Essai d'une recherche sur le spectre du noyau de la Comète Delavan (1913*f*).
(*Author.*) 4to. Petrograd, 1915

Boccardi (Giovanni):
——: *La Variazione delle Latitudini e le osservazioni di Pino Torinese. [2 Memoirs.]
(*Author.*) 4to. Roma, 1914–1915
——: *Scienza teutonica e scienza latina.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Torino, 1915
——: La Latitudine di Pino Torinese nel 1915.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Torino, 1916
——: *Saggio sulla Costante di Aberrazione. Nota II.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Torino, 1916

Bombay and Alibag Observatory:
Report of the Director for 1915.
(*Observatory.*) fol. Bombay and Alibag, 1916

Bordeaux, Observatoire:
——: Annales . . . publiées par L. Picard, Tome 15.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Paris et Bordeaux, 1915
——: Carte photographique du Ciel. Six charts. Zone, +14°, +12°.
(*French Minister of Public Instruction.*)
——: Catalogue photographique du Ciel: Coordonnées rectilignes. Tome 4, zone +13° à +15°.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Paris, 1914

Brahe (Tycho):
Tychonis Brahe Dani Opera omnia, edidit I. L. E. Dreyer. Tomus 2.
(*Editor.*) 4to. Hauniæ, 1915

British Association for the Advancement of Science:
Report of the 84th meeting, held in Australia, 1914.
(*Association.*) 8vo. London, 1915

Brown (Ernest William):
*Theorie des Erdmondes.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Leipzig [1914]

Brown's Nautical Almanac, Harbour and dock guide, and daily tide tables for 1916.
(*Editor.*) 8vo. Glasgow [1915]

Bulletin Astronomique. Fondé en 1884 par E. Mouchez et F. Tisserand. Publié par l'Observatoire de Paris. Tome 32, No. 3–12; Tome 33, No. 1–4.
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. Paris, 1915–1916

Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques. Rédigé par G. Darboux et E. Picard. Série 2. Tome 39, No. 6–12; Tome 40, No. 1–5.
(*Editors.*) 8vo. Paris, 1915–1916

Cabreira (Antonio):
——: *Calendrier perpétuel, dans les systèmes julien (ère chrétienne) et grégorien.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Coïmbre, 1915
——: *Sobre o quadrado e o cubo dos polinómios.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Coimbra, 1915

Calcutta, Astronomical Society of India:
——: Journal, Vol. 4, No. 7–9; Vol. 5, No. 1–12; Vol. 6, No. 1–3.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Calcutta, 1914–1915
——: Monthly Notices, 1916 Jan., Mar., Apr., May.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Calcutta, 1916

Cambridge Observatory:
Annual Report of the Observatory Syndicate, 1914–1915.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Cambridge [1915]

Cambridge Philosophical Society:
——: Proceedings, Vol. 18, pt. 3, 4.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Cambridge, 1915–1916
——: Transactions, Vol. 22, pt. 8, 9.
(*Society.*) 4to. Cambridge, 1916

Cambridge University; Solar Physics Observatory:
——: Second Annual Report of the Director . . . to the Solar Physics Committee, 1914–1915.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Cambridge, 1915
——: Annals, Vol. 3, pt. 1.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Cambridge, 1915
J. B. Hubrecht: The Solar Rotation in June 1911.

Canada [Royal] Canadian Institute:
General Index to publications, 1852–1912.
(*Institute.*) 8vo. Toronto, 1914

Canada, Royal Society:
Transactions, Section III (Mathematical, Physical, and Chemical Sciences). Series 3, Vol. 9, 1915, June, Sept., Dec., 1916 March.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Ottawa, 1915–1916

Cape of Good Hope, Royal Observatory:
——: Annals, Vol. 12, pt. 1.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. London, 1915
De Sitter: Determination of the mass of Jupiter and elements of the orbits of its Satellites.

Cape of Good Hope, Royal Observatory:
——: Cape Astrographic Zones. Vol. 2, 3.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. London, 1914–1915
Catalogue of rectangular co-ordinates and diameters of star images. . . . Zone −42°, −43°.
——: Results of Meridian Observations of Stars . . . 1905–1908.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Edinburgh, 1914
——: Independent day numbers for the year 1916–1917.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. London, 1914–1915
——: Report of H. M. Astronomer . . . for the year 1914.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. London, 1915

Cape Town, Royal Society of South Africa:
Transactions, Vol. 4, pt. 3; Vol. 5, pt. 1–3.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Cape Town, 1915

Cape Town, South African Association for the Advancement of Science.
The South African Journal of Science, Vol. 11, No. 9, 10; Vol. 12, No. 1–8.
(*Association.*) 8vo. Cape Town, 1915–1916

Carse (G. A.) and G. Shearer:
A course in Fourier's Analysis and Periodogram Analysis for the Mathematical Laboratory. (Edinburgh Mathematical Tracts, No. 4.)
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. London, 1915

Catania, Reale Osservatorio:
Catalogo Astrofotografico, 1900·0; Zona di Catania, fra le declinazioni +46° e +55°. Vol. 3, pt. 1.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Catania, 1915

Catania, Società degli Spettroscopisti Italiani:
Memorie . . . Fondata da P. Tacchini: pubblicazione mensile continuata per cura di A. Riccò. Serie 2. Vol. 4, Disp. 5–12; Vol. 5, Disp. 1–4.
(*Society.*) 4to. Catania, 1915–1916

Chapman (Robert William):
*Circum-Elongation Observations for Azimuth.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Adelaide, 1915

Chatham, Royal Engineers' Institute:
The Royal Engineers' Journal, Vol. 22, 23.
(*Institute.*) 8vo. Chatham, 1915–1916

Chicago, Society for Practical Astronomy:
The Monthly Register, Vol. 7, No. 4–8; Vol. 8, No. 1–3.
(*Editor.*) 8vo. Chicago, 1915–1916

Cincinnati Observatory:
Publications, No. 18, pt. 1.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Cincinnati, 1915
Catalogue of proper motion Stars.

Copenhagen, Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab:
——: Oversigt over det K. Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Forhandlinger. 1915, No. 2–6; 1916, No. 1, 2.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Kjöbenhavn, 1915–1916
——: Skrifter, naturvidensk. og mathematisk Afd.: 7te Række, Bd. 11, No. 6; Bd. 12, No. 2–5, 7; 8te Række, Bd. 1, No. 1, 2; Bd. 2, No. 1.
(*Society.*) 4to. Kjöbenhavn, 1915–1916

Copenhagen, Observatorium:
*Publikationer og mindre Meddelelser. No. 22, 23.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Kiel, 1915–1916

Cortie (Aloysius L.):
*The colours and spectra of the stars.
(*Author.*) 8vo. [Manchester 1916]

Darwin (George Howard):
Scientific Papers. Vol. 5: Supplementary Volume, containing Biographical Memoirs by Sir Francis Darwin and Prof. E. W. Brown, Lectures on Hill's Lunar Theory, &c. Edited by F. J. M. Stratton and J. Jackson.
(*Editors.*) 8vo. Cambridge, 1916

Davidson (Martin):
*L'Excentricité de l'Orbite de la Terre et son effet direct sur le Climat. [Traduction de l'anglais.]
(*Author.*) 8vo. Bologna [Milano], 1914

Dawes (William R.):
The observing books (MSS.), 1819–1829 and 1844–1858.
(*Executrix of the late Lady Huggins.*) 4to.

Downing (A. M. W.):
The determination of Easter Day.
(*Author.*) 8vo. London, 1915

Dublin, Royal Irish Academy:
Proceedings (third series), Section A, Vol. 32, No. 5–7.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Dublin, 1915

Dublin, Royal Society:
Scientific Proceedings. New series. Vol. 14, No. 24–41.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Dublin, 1915

Edinburgh Royal Observatory :
Twenty-sixth annual report of the Astronomer Royal for Scotland, 1915–1916.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Edinburgh, 1916

Edinburgh, Royal Society :
—— : Proceedings. Vol. 35, pt. 2, 3.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Edinburgh, 1915
—— : Transactions, Vol. 50, pt. 2.
(*Society.*) 4to. Edinburgh, 1915

Egypt, Survey Department :
Survey Department Papers, No. 33.
(*Director-General.*) 8vo. Cairo, 1915
H. E. Hurst : Magnetic Survey of Egypt and the Sudan.

English Mechanic and World of Science (the). Vol. 101–103. (No. 2621–2672).
(*Editor.*) fol. London, 1915–1916

Europe, Association Géodésique Internationale :
Rapport sur les Travaux du Bureau Central . . . en 1915.
(*Association.*) 4to. Leide, 1916

Fiallo Cabral (Aristides) :
Nueva Astronomia. Cuadro sinoptico de la doctrina biocosmica de la gravitacion universal y de la jeneracion de los mundos.
(*Author.*) obl. fol. San Domingo, 1915

Flammarion (Camille) :
Annuaire Astronomique et Météorologique pour 1916.
(*Editor.*) 8vo. Paris [1915]

Gall (James) :
An easy guide to the constellations, with a miniature atlas of the stars. New and enlarged edition.
(*W. H. Wesley.*) 16mo. London and Edinburgh

Gautier (Raoul) :
Rapport sur le Concours de réglage de chronomètres, 1914.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Genève, 1915

Genoa, Istituto Idrografico :
—— : Annali Idrografici : raccolta di documenti e notizie circa l'Idrografia e la Navigazione. Vol. 9, 1913–1914.
(*Institute.*) 4to. Genova, 1915
—— : Tavole Nautiche.
(*Institute.*) 4to. Genova, 1915

Gibb (David) :
A course in Interpolation and Numerical Integration for the Mathematical Laboratory. (Edinburgh Mathematical Tracts, No. $\frac{1}{2}$2.)
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. London, 1915

Greenwich, Royal Observatory:
——: Astronomical and magnetical and meteorological observations made . . . in the year 1913, under the direction of Sir F. W. Dyson.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. London, 1915
——: Appendices:
Results of Astronomical Observations, 1913. Photoheliographic results, 1913.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. London, 1914–1915

Hale (George Ellery):
——: Ten years' work of a Mountain Observatory.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Washington, 1915
——: *Fotografia spettroeliografica, con nota di A. Riccò Ancora sulla fotografia solare stereoscopica. (lettere al Prof. Riccò.)
(*Prof. Riccò.*) 4to. Catania, 1916

Halifax, Nova Scotian Institute of Science:
Proceedings and Transactions. Vol. 13, pt. 3, 4; Vol. 14, pt. 1.
(*Institute.*) 8vo. Halifax, 1915

Harvard College Astronomical Observatory:
——: Annals, Vol. 73, pt. 2; Vol. 76, No. 4–10; Vol. 77; Vol. 80, No. 1–3.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Cambridge, Mass., 1914–1915
Vol. 73, pt. 2. Blue Hill Meteorological Observations.
„ 77. A. Searle: Comparison with other catalogues of the Catalogue of 8337 Stars between −9° 50′ and −14° 10′.
——: Circular, No. 188–190.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Cambridge, Mass., 1915–1916
——: Seventieth annual report of the Director . . . 1915. By E. C. Pickering.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Cambridge, Mass., 1916

Helwân Observatory:
Bulletin, No. 16–18.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. 1915–1916

Hobart, Royal Society of Tasmania:
Papers and Proceedings, 1915.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Hobart, 1916

Horological Journal (the). Vol. 57, 58 (No. 682–693).
(*Brit. Horol. Institute.*) 8vo. London, 1915–1916

Housden (C. E.):
Is Venus inhabited?
(*Author.*) 8vo. London, 1915

Hubrecht (Jan Bastiaan):
The Solar Rotation in June 1911, from spectrographic observations. [Inaugural Dissertation.]
(*Author.*) 4to. Cambridge, 1915

Hyderabad, Nizamiah Observatory:
Report, 1913–1914; 1914–1915.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo.

India, Astronomical Society: *see* Calcutta.

India, Survey Department:
——: General report on the operations of the Survey of India, during 1913–1914.
(*Surveyor-General of India.*) fol. Calcutta, 1915
——: Records of the Survey of India. Vol. 6, 7.
(*Surveyor-General of India.*)
fol. Calcutta and Dehra Dûn, 1914–1916

India, United Service Institution:
Journal, Vol. 44, 45, No. 200–203.
(*Institution.*) 8vo. Simla, 1915–1916

International Catalogue of Scientific Literature:
E. (Astronomy), 13th annual issue (1913–1914).
C. (Physics), 13th annual issue (1913–1914).
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. London, 1915

Johannesburg, Union Observatory:
Circular, No. 25–33.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Pretoria, 1915–1916

Journal des Observateurs:
Vol. 1, No. 1–7.
(*Purchased.*) 4to. Marseille, 1915–1916

Joy (Alfred Harrison):
*Investigation of the Cluster M 37 (N.G.C. 2099) for proper motion.
(*Author.*) 4to. Lynn, Mass., 1916

Knowledge. New Series. Vol. 12, No. 7–12; Vol. 13, No. 1–6.
(*Editor.*) 4to. London, 1915–1916

Kodaikánal and Madras Observatories:
——: Bulletin, No. 44–48.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Madras, 1915–1916
——: Annual Report of the Director for 1915.
(*Observatory.*) fol. Madras, 1916

La Plata, Observatorio Astronomico:
Resultado de las Observaciones en la Zona $-57°$ a $-61°$ con el circulo meridiano Gautier, durante el año 1914, efectuadas por Félix Aguilar. Tomo 2.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. La Plata, 1916

Leeds Astronomical Society:
Journal and Transactions, No. 22 (1914).
(*Society.*) 8vo. Leeds, 1915

Levi-Civita (T.):
——: *Sulla riduzione del problema dei tre corpi.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Venezia, 1915
——: *Sulla regolarizzazione del problema piano dei tre corpi.
(*Author.*) 4to. Roma, 1915
——: *Forma mista di equazioni del moto, che conviene ad una particolare categoria di sistemi meccanici.
(*Author.*) 4to. Roma, 1915
——: *Sopre due transformazioni canoniche desunte dal moto parabolico.
(*Author.*) 4to. Roma, 1916
——: *Sul problema piano dei tre corpi.
(*Author.*) 4to. Roma, 1916
——: *Sur la régularisation du problème des trois corps.
(*Author.*) 4to. Paris, 1916

Lick Observatory, University of California:
Bulletin, No. 272–280.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Berkeley, 1915-1916

Lisbon, Observatório Astronómico:
Previzões para o Eclipse Solar de 1916 Fevereiro 3 em Portugal e Ilhas.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Lisboa, 1915

London, Board of Education:
Reports for the year 1914 of the Science Museum, the Geological Survey and the Museum of Practical Geology.
(*Board of Education.*) 8vo. London, 1915

London, British Astronomical Association:
——: Journal, Vol. 25, No. 8, 9; Vol. 26, No. 1–6.
(*Association.*) 8vo. London, 1915–1916
——: Memoirs, Vol. 20, pt. 2.
(*Association.*) 8vo. London, 1915
——: List of members, 1915.
(*Association.*) 8vo. London, 1915

London, Meteorological Office:
——: The Geophysical Journal, 1914, No. 1–12.
(*Meteorological Office.*) 4to. London, 1915–1916

London Meteorological Office:
——: Hourly values from autographic records: Geophysical Section, 1913.
(*Meteorological Office.*) 4to. London, 1915

London, Nautical Almanac and Astronomical Ephemeris for the meridian of the Royal Observatory, Greenwich, 1918.
(*Lords Commissioners of Admiralty.*) 8vo. London, 1915

London, Physical Society:
Proceedings, Vol. 27, pt. 4, 5; Vol. 28, pt. 1–3.
(*Society.*) 8vo. London, 1915–1916

London, Royal Astronomical Society:
Monthly Notices, Vol. 76. 8vo. London, 1915–1916

London, Royal Photographic Society:
The Photographic Journal; including the Transactions of the Royal Photographic Society, Vol. 55, No. 6–8, and Supplement; Vol. 56, No. 1–5.
(*Society.*) 8vo. London, 1915–1916

London, Royal Society:
——: Philosophical Transactions, Series A, Vol. 215, 216 (No. 531–546).
(*Society.*) 4to. London, 1915–1916
——: Proceedings, Series A, Vol. 91, 92 (No. 630–641.)
(*Society.*) 8vo. London, 1915–1916
——: Year-book, 1916.
(*Society.*) 8vo. London, 1916
——: Provisional Reports [of meetings], 1915 Nov. 4–1916 June 29.
(*Society.*) 8vo. [London, 1915–1916]

London, University College:
Calendar, session 1915–1916.
(*The College.*) 8vo. London, 1915

Lowell Observatory:
——: Bulletin, No. 68–72.
(*Percival Lowell.*) 4to. 1915–1916
——: Memoirs, Vol. 1, No. 1.
(*Percival Lowell.*) 4to. Lynn, Mass., 1915
Memoir on a trans-Neptunian Planet.

Lund, Astronomiska Observatorium:
*Meddelanden . . . No. 68–71.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Uppsala & Stockholm, 1915

Madrid, Observatorio Astronómico:
——: Anuario, año 1916.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Madrid, 1915

Madrid, Observatorio Astronómico:
——: Eclipse de Sol del 17 de Abril de 1912: Trabajos de la Comisión Oficial.
(*Observatory.*) fol. Madrid, 1915

Manchester Astronomical Society:
Journal of the Session 1914–1915. No. 2.
(*Society.*) 8vo. [Manchester, 1915]

Manchester Literary and Philosophical Society:
Memoirs and Proceedings, Vol. 59, pt. 1–3.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Manchester, 1915–1916

Mascart (Jean):
Exposition Internationale de Lyon, 1914. La Science à l'Exposition.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Lyon, 1916

Maunder (Edward Walter):
The Stars as guides for night marching in North latitude 50°.
(*Author.*) 12mo. London, 1916

Maunder (Annie S. D.):
*Iranian migrations before History.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Bologna, 1916

Mauritius, Royal Alfred Observatory:
——: Results of the Magnetical, Meteorological and Seismological Observations, 1915. New series, Vol. 1, pt. 3–12.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Mauritius, 1915–1916
——: Annual Report of the Director . . . for 1914.
(*Observatory.*) fol. Mauritius, 1915

Mengarini (Guglielmo):
*L'Eclissi totale di Sole del 21 agosto 1914. Note di viaggio della Missione Italiana in Crimea.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Roma, 1915

Missouri University, Laws Observatory:
Bulletin, Astronomical Series. No. 22, 23.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. 1915

Monthly Evening Sky Map (the). A Star, constellation and planet finder map for the current month. Vol. 8, 9; Vol. 10, No. 1–6.
(*Messrs. Wm. Wesley & Son.*) 4to. New York, 1914–1916

Montpellier, Académie des Sciences et Lettres:
Bulletin mensuel, 1915, No. 4–12; 1916, No. 1–5.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Montpellier, 1915–1916

Mount Wilson, California, Solar Observatory :
—— : Contributions . . . No. 96–111.
(*Carnegie Institution.*) 8vo. Chicago, 1915–1916
—— : *Communications to the National Academy of Sciences. No. 6–26.
(*Carnegie Institution.*) 8vo. Boston, 1915–1916
—— : Annual Report of the Director . . . 1915.
(*Carnegie Institution.*) 8vo. Washington [1916]

Napier Tercentenary Celebration :
Napier Tercentenary Memorial volume. Edited by Cargill Gilston Knott.
(*Royal Society of Edinburgh.*) 4to. London, 1915

National Academy of Sciences : *see* Washington.

National Physical Laboratory :
—— : Collected researches. Vol. 12.
(*Laboratory.*) 4to. 1915
—— : Report of the year 1914–1915.
(*Laboratory.*) 8vo. Teddington, 1915

Nature. A weekly illustrated journal of science. Vol. 95, 96, 97, No. 2381–2432.
(*Editor.*) 4to. London, 1915–1916

New York, Observatory of Columbia University :
Contributions, No. 29.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. New York, 1915
Mary M. Hopkins : The field of 61 Cygni ; a study of the Yerkes Observatory Parallax photographs.

New Zealand, Department of Lands and Survey :
Report of the Survey operations, 1913–1915.
(*Dept. of Lands & Survey.*) fol. Wellington, 1914–1915

Northfield, Minnesota, Carleton College :
Publications of the Goodsell Observatory, No. 4.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Northfield, Minn., 1915

Nunez (Pero) :
Tratado da Sphera com a theorica do Sol e da Lua e ho primeiro livro da Geographia de Claudio Ptolomeo. . . . Tratado que ho Doutor Pero Nunez fez em defensam da Carta de Marear. Tratado . . . sobre certas duvidas da navegação. Reproduction fac-similé de . . . l'édition 1537.
(*Portuguese Government.*) fol. Berne & Munich, 1915
Vol. 5 of Histoire de la Science Nautique Portugaise. Collection de documents : Ed. Joaquim Bensaude.

Observatory (the). A monthly review of astronomy; edited by A. S. Eddington, F. J. M. Stratton, and H. S. Jones. Vol. 38, 39 (No. 489–501).
(*Editors.*) 8vo. London, 1915–1916

Olcott (William Tyler):
——: Sun Lore of all Ages. A collection of Myths and Legends concerning the Sun and its worship.
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. New York, 1914
——: Star Lore of all Ages. A collection of Myths, Legends and facts concerning the Constellations of the Northern Hemisphere.
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. New York, 1911
——: A Field Book of the Stars. 2nd edition, revised and enlarged.
(*W. H. Wesley.*) 12mo. New York, *n. d.*

Olsen (Ole Theodor):
The Fisherman's Nautical Almanac and Tide Tables. 40th year, 1916.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Grimsby, 1915

Oporto, Academia Polytechnica:
Annaes Scientificos. Vol. 10, No. 2, 3.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Coimbra, 1915

Ottawa, Dominion Astronomical Observatory:
——: Publications. Vol. 2, No. 1–15; Vol. 3, No. 1–5.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Ottawa, 1915–1916
——: Report of the Chief Astronomer . . . for the year ending Mar. 31, 1911.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Ottawa, 1915

Oxford, University Observatory:
——: Forty-first Annual Report of the Savilian Professor of Astronomy, 1915–1916.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Oxford, 1916
——: Astronomical Observations made . . . under the direction of C. Pritchard. No. 1, 2. [To complete series.]
(*Prof. Turner.*) 8vo. Oxford, 1878–1885

Palermo, Reale Osservatorio:
Pubblicazioni . . . Nuova Serie, Vol. 2, per cura del Direttore, F. Angelitti. [Memorie, 11–22.]
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Palermo, 1914

Paris, Académie des Sciences:
Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances. Tome 170. No. 23—Tome 162, No. 22.
(*Academy.*) 4to. Paris, 1915–1916

Paris, Bureau des Longitudes :
——: Annuaire pour l'an 1916 ; avec des notices scientifiques. (*Bureau.*) 16mo. Paris
——: Connaissance des Temps, ou des mouvements célestes pour le méridien de Paris, à l'usage des astronomes et des navigateurs, 1917. (*Bureau.*) 8vo. Paris, 1915
——: Connaissance des Temps. Extrait à l'usage des Écoles d'Hydrographie et des marins du commerce, 1916. (*Bureau.*) 8vo. Paris, 1914

Paris, Dépôt Général de la Marine :
Annales hydrographiques. Série 2. Volume de 1914. (*Dépôt de Marine.*) 8vo. Paris, 1914

Paris, Ministère de l'Instruction Publique :
Enquêtes et documents relatifs à l'enseignement supérieur : Rapport sur les Observatoires astronomiques de province, Année 1914. CX. (*Minister of Public Instruction.*) 8vo. Paris, 1915

Paris, Ministère de la Marine :
Expédition Antarctique Française (1903–1905), commandée par le Dr. Jean Charcot. Sciences physiques, &c. (*Minister of Marine.*) 4to. Paris, 1914

Paris, Observatoire :
——: Carte photographique du Ciel. Twenty-three charts. Zone $+22°$, $+20°$, $+18°$. (*Minister of Public Instruction.*)
——: Catalogue photographique du Ciel. Coordonnées rectilignes. Tome 4. Zone $+20°$ à $+22°$. (*Observatory.*) 4to. Paris, 1915
——: Rapport annuel sur l'état de l'Observatoire, 1914, 1915, par B. Baillaud. (*Observatory.*) 4to. Paris, 1915–1916

Paris, Société Astronomique de France :
L'Astronomie : Revue mensuelle d'astronomie, de météorologie et de physique du globe, et Bulletin de la Société Astronomique de France. Année 29, No. 6–12 ; Année 30, No. 1–5. (*Society.*) 8vo. Paris, 1915–1916

Paris, Société Mathématique de France :
Bulletin. Tome 43, fasc. 2–4. (*Society.*) 8vo. Paris, 1915

Paris, Société Philomathique :
Bulletin. 10me série. Tome 6, 7. (*Society.*) 8vo. Paris, 1916

Perth Observatory, Western Australia:
——: Meridian observations, Vol. 6.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Perth
Catalogue of 2025 stars between −37° and −39°, for 1900·0.
——: Astrographic Catalogue, 1900.0. Perth Section, −31° to −41°. Vol. 9–12.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Perth, 1914–1915
Measures of Rectangular Co-ordinates, etc.
——: Astrographic Catalogue Tables, −32° to −40°.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Perth, 1913

Philadelphia, American Philosophical Society:
——: Proceedings. Vol. 54, No. 216–219.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Philadelphia, 1915
——: Transactions. New series. Vol. 22, pt. 3.
(*Society.*) 4to. Philadelphia, 1915

Philadelphia, Franklin Institute:
Journal. Vol. 179, No. 6–Vol. 181, No. 5.
(*Institute.*) 8vo. Philadelphia, 1915–1916

Philosophical Magazine:
The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine. Series 6, Vol. 30, 31 (No. 175–186).
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. London, 1915–1916

Pickering (Edward Charles), and J. D. M.:
*The Astronomical Observatory [by E. C. P.]. The Work of the Observatory [by J. D. M.].
(*Authors.*) 8vo. 1915

Pickering (William H.):
*Monthly Report on Mars, No. 9–14.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Northfield, Minn., 1915–1916

Pomona College, Astronomical Society:
Publication, Vol. 4, No. 4; Vol. 5, No. 1, 2.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Claremont, Cal., 1915–1916

Popular Astronomy. Founded by W. W. Payne: edited by H. C. Wilson [and others]. Vol. 23, No. 7–10; Vol. 24, No. 1–5.
(*Editors.*) 8vo. Northfield, Minn., 1915–1916

Portugal (Republica Portuguesa):
Histoire de la science nautique Portugaise à l'époque des grandes découvertes. Collection de documents publiés . . . par Joaquim Bensaude. Vol. 1, 5.
(*Portuguese Government.*) 8vo & fol. Munich & Berne, 1914–1915
Vol. 1. Regimento do Estrolabio e do Quadrante [etc.].
„ 5. P. Nunez, Tratado da Sphera [etc.].

Ptolemy (Claudius):

Ptolemy's Catalogue of Stars: a revision of the Almagest, by Christian Heinrich Friedrich Peters . . . and Edward Ball Knobel.
(*E. B. Knobel, Esq.*) 4to. Washington, 1915

Pulkovo, Observatoire Central Nicolas:

——: Bulletin, Vol. 6, No. 10, 11.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Petrograd, 1915

——: Corrections to Right Ascensions and Declinations for 1532 Stars for the year 1915. Computed by the Nautical Almanac Office, London: published by the Nicolai Central Observatory, Pulkovo.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Petrograd, 1915

Rhijn (Pieter Johannes van):

Derivation of the change of colour with distance and apparent magnitude: together with a new determination of the mean parallaxes of the stars with given magnitude and proper motion. [Inaugural Dissertation.]
(*Author.*) 4to. Groningen, 1915

Riccò (Annibale):

*Distribuzione delle protuberanze sulla superficie del Sole.
(*Author.*) 4to. Roma, 1913

——: *La nuova zona rossa coronale nell' Eclisse Solare del 1914.
(*Author.*) 4to. Roma, 1915

Rome, Reale Accademia dei Lincei:

Atti . . . Anno 312, 313, Serie quinta. Rendiconti, Classe di scienze fisiche, mathematiche e naturali. Vol. 24, semestre 1, fasc. 9–11; semestre 2, fasc. 1–12; Vol. 25, semestre 1, fasc. 1–8.
(*Academy.*) 4to. Roma, 1915–1916

Rome, Reale Osservatorio Astronomico al Collegio Romano:

Memorie ed Osservazioni. Serie 3, Vol. 6, pt. 1, 2.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Roma, 1913–1916

Rome, Società Italiana delle Scienze:

Memorie di matematica e di fisica. Serie terza. Tomo 19.
(*Society.*) 4to. Roma, 1916

Rome, Specola Astronomica Vaticana:

Catalogo Astrografico, 1900.0; Sezione Vaticana, Decl. da $+55°$ a $+65°$ . . . calcolate all' Osservatorio di Oxford. Vol. 2 . . . declinazione $+63°$.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Roma, 1915

San Francisco, Astronomical Society of the Pacific:
Publications, Vol. 27, 28 (No. 159–163).
(*Society.*) 8vo. San Francisco, 1915–1916

St. Petersburg: *see* Petrograd.

Salcombe Regis, the Hill Observatory:
Bulletin, Vol. 1, No. 3, 4.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. 1915

Science Abstracts. Section A (Physics). Vol. 18, pt. 6–12; Vol. 19, pt. 1–5.
(*Institution of Electrical Engineers.*)
8vo. London, 1915–1916

See (Thomas Jefferson Jackson):
*The Euler-Laplace Theorem on the decrease of the eccentricity of the orbits of the heavenly bodies under the secular action of a resisting medium.
(*Author.*) 8vo. Philadelphia, 1915

Serviss (Garrett P.):
Astronomy in a Nutshell: The chief facts and principles explained in popular language for the general reader and for schools.
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. New York, 1912

Smith (Elliott):
*The evolution of a gravitating, rotating, condensing fluid. (University of Cincinnati Studies.)
(*Cincinnati University.*) 8vo. Cincinnati, 1915
(*Another copy, presented by the Author.*)

Sotome (K.):
*Considerations on the problem of latitude variation.
(*Tokyo Observatory.*) 8vo. Tokyo, 1915

Southall (James P. C.):
The principles and methods of Geometrical Optics, especially as applied to the theory of Optical Instruments.
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. New York, 1913

Stockholm, Kongliga Svenska Vetenskaps Akademie:
——: Arkiv för mathematik, astronomi och fysik. Bandet 9, Häfte 3, 4; Bandet 10, Häfte 1, 2.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Uppsala & Stockholm, 1914–1915
——: Arsbok för år 1914, 1915.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Uppsala & Stockholm, 1914–1915
——: Lefnadsteckningar, Band 5, Häfte 1.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Uppsala & Stockholm, 1915
—— —: Personförteckningar, 1739–1915, utgivna af E. W. Dahlgren.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Stockholm, 1915

Stockholm, Observatorium :
Astronomiska Iakttagelser och Undersökningar . . . utgivna af Karl Bohlin. Bandet 10, No. 3.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Uppsala & Stockholm, 1916

Switzerland, Schweizerische Geodätische Kommission :
Astronomisch-geodätische Arbeiten in der Schweiz. Band 15.
(*The Commission.*) 4to. Zurich, 1915

Sydney, Royal Society of New South Wales :
Journal and Proceedings. Vol. 48, pt. 3, 4 ; Vol. 49, pt. 1, 2.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Sydney, 1914–1915

Tacubaya, Observatorio Astronómico Nacional :
Anuario . . . para el año de 1915, 1916.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. México, 1914–1916

Terrestrial Magnetism and Atmospheric Electricity :
An International Quarterly Journal. Vol. 20, No. 1–4 ; Vol. 21, No. 1.
(*Editor.*) 8vo. Baltimore, 1915–1916

Tilney (William Arthur) :
Marching or flying by night without a compass, with time-table of direction Stars : seventh edition.
(*Author.*) 16mo. London, 1915

Toronto, Royal Astronomical Society of Canada :
—— : Journal, Vol. 9, No. 5–10 ; Vol. 10, No. 1–5.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Toronto, 1915–1916
—— : The Observer's Handbook, 1916.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Toronto, 1916

Toronto, Royal Canadian Institute :
Transactions. Vol. 10, pt. 1, 2.
(*Institute.*) 8vo. Toronto, 1915–1916

Tortosa, Observatorio del Ebro :
Boletin mensual, Vol. 5, No. 8–12 ; Vol. 6, No. 1–6.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Barcelona, 1914–1916

Toulouse, Académie des Sciences, Inscriptions et Belles-lettres :
Mémoires . . . 11me série. Tome 1.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Toulouse, 1913

Toulouse, Observatoire Astronomique, Magnétique et Météorologique :
—— : Carte photographique du Ciel : Seventeen charts. Zone $+9°$, $+7°$, $+5°$.
(*French Minister of Public Instruction.*)

Toulouse, Observatoire Astronomique, Magnétique et Météorologique:

——: Catalogue Photographique du Ciel. Coordonnées rectilignes. Tome 4. Zone +6° à +8°. Fasc. 2.
(*French Minister of Public Instruction.*) 4to. Paris, 1913

Turin, Reale Accademia della Scienze:

Atti, Vol. 50, No. 1–15; and Osservazioni Meteorologiche, 1915.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Torino, 1915

Turin, Reale Osservatorio di Pino Torinese:

Annuario astronomico, pel 1916, 1917.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Torino, 1915–1916

Turin, Società Urania:

Saggi di Astronomia Popolare, Anno 5, No. 7–12; Anno 6, No. 1–5.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Torino, 1915–1916

Turner (Herbert Hall):

A Voyage in Space. A course of six lectures "adapted to a Juvenile Auditory," delivered at the Royal Institution at Xmas 1913.
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. London, 1915

United States Coast and Geodetic Survey:

——: Annual Report of the Superintendent . . . showing the progress of the work during the year 1914–1915.
(*Survey Office.*) 4to. Washington, 1915

——: Results of observations made at the . . . Magnetic Observatory at Cheltenham, Maryland, 1913–1914.
(*Survey Office.*) 4to. Washington, 1915

——: Special Publications, No. 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33.
(*Survey Office.*) 4to & 8vo. Washington, 1915

No. 24. W. F. Reynolds. Triangulation in Alabama and Mississippi.
„ 25. D. L. Hazard. Results of Magnetic Observations, 1914.
„ 27. F. E. Ross. Latitude Observations at Gaithersburg.
„ 28. O. S. Adams. Application of the Theory of Least Squares.
„ 30. A. L. Baldwin. Triangulation in West Virginia.
„ 31. C. A. Mourhess. Triangulation on Columbia River.
„ 33. D. L. Hazard. Distribution of Magnetic Declination in the U.S.

Utzinger (Elva C.):

*The Asteroids.
(*Goodsell Observatory.*) 8vo. Northfield, Minn., 1915

Washington, National Academy of Sciences:

Proceedings, Vol. 1, No. 1–12; Vol. 2, No. 1–5.
(*Academy.*) 8vo. Baltimore, 1915–1916

Washington, Navy Department:
The American Ephemeris and Nautical Almanac, 1917, 1918.
(*American Ephemeris Office.*) 8vo. Washington, 1915

Washington, Smithsonian Institution:
——: Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections. Vol. 62, No. 4; Vol. 64, No. 3; Vol. 65, No. 3, 4, 6, 8, 10–14.
(*Institution.*) 8vo. Washington, 1915–1916
Vol. 65. No. 4. C. G. Abbot, F. E. Fowle and L. B. Aldrich. New evidence of intensity of Solar Radiation outside the atmosphere.
„ 65. „ 11. A. L. Parson. Magneton theory of the structure of the Atom.
——: Annual Report of the Board of Regents . . . 1913, 1914.
(*Institution.*) 8vo. Washington, 1914–1915
——: Report of the Astrophysical Observatory for the year ending June 30, 1915.
(*Institution.*) 8vo. Washington, 1916

Washington, United States Naval Observatory:
——: Annual Report . . . for the year 1915.
(*Observatory.*) 8vo. Washington, 1915
——: Publications. . . . Second series. Vol. 9, pt. 2.
(*Observatory.*) 4to. Washington, 1915

Weatherhead (R.):
The star pocket-book, or how to find your way at night. Second impression.
(*Messrs. Wm. Wesley & Son.*) 16mo. London, 1915

White (James Dundas):
Steering by the Stars, for night-flying, night-marching, and night boat-work, between latitudes 40° N. and 60° N.
(*Mr. J. D. Potter.*) 16mo. London [1916]

Wolfer (A.):
*Tafeln der Sonnenfleckenhäufigkeit für die Tätigkeitsperiode von 1901 bis 1914.
(*Author.*) 4to. Braunschweig, 1915

Wood (Robert W.):
Physical Optics. New and revised edition.
(*Purchased.*) 8vo. New York, 1914

Zeipel (Hugo von):
*Recherches sur la constitution des Amas Globulaires.
(*Upsala Observatory.*) 4to. Uppsala & Stockholm, 1913

Zeitschrift für Instrumentenkunde. Organ für Mittheilungen aus dem gesammten Gebiete der wissenschaftlichen Technik. Jahrgang 35, Heft 4–12; Jahrg. 36, Heft 1–4.
(*Purchased.*) 4to. Berlin, 1915–1916

Zürich, Naturforschende Gesellschaft:
Vierteljahrsschrift, Jahrgang 60, Heft 3, 4.
(*Society.*) 8vo. Zürich, 1916

PHOTOGRAPHS, &c., PRESENTED TO THE SOCIETY.

Blagg (Miss M. A.). An old drawing of an exploding meteor, dated 1783 August 18.

Herschel (Miss F.). Sir William Herschel's autograph dedication of the Georgium Sidus.

The Executrix of the late Lady Huggins. Portraits of Sir William and Lady Huggins (framed oil paintings), and a photograph of W. R. Dawes.

Mount Wilson Solar Observatory. Stereoscopic spectroheliograms of the Hα flocculi surrounding large spot-groups, 1915 Aug. 7 and 9 (1 transparency and 1 print).

Reynolds (J. H.). Photographs of Jupiter, made with the 28-in. Reflector, at Harborne, 1915, Nov. (6 lantern slides.)

Robbins (Frank). Photograph of Otto Struve, and of the Pulkovo Observatory. (2 prints.)

Turner (H. H.). Photographs of the cœlostat and hut, used in the eclipse expedition to Japan, 1896. (2 lantern slides.)

INDEX

PAGE

Adelaide Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the 322
Albrecht, C. T., Obituary notice of 282
Annual General Meeting, 1916 February 11, Report of the 249
Antoniadi, E. M., On the question of objective change on the surface of Mars 413
——————— On a probable relation between the changes in solar radiation and the melting of the polar snow caps of Mars 643
Armagh Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the 301
Assets and present property of the Society 256
Associates proposed 447
———elected 639
Astrographic Catalogue, A proposal for the comparison of the magnitude scales of the, H. H. Turner, Eighth note, the Cape magnitudes for $-42°$ 2
——————————— Ninth note, the Toulouse magnitudes, the Cape magnitudes; and further remarks on the obscured patch as a spiral 149
——————————— Comparison of the Bordeaux, Washington, and Algiers Catalogues, R. J. Pocock 190
——————————— Distribution in space of the stars in zone $+25°$ of the Oxford Astrographic Catalogue, R. J. Pocock 421
Astrographic Chart and Catalogue, Council note on the 353
Auditors of the Treasurer's accounts for 1915, Report of the 254
Auwers, G. F. J. A., Obituary notice of 284

Barnard, E. E., Measures of small stars in the Dumbbell Nebula (=N.G.C. 6853 =M 27) 90
——————— Measures of the positions of faint comparison stars near the variable R Cygni 95
——————— Observations of the double star Krueger 60 (Burnham Gen. Cat. 11761) 592
Baxandall, F. E., H. F. Newall, and C. P. Butler, On the identity of Fraunhofer's group G in the solar spectrum with the hydrocarbon band 4314 640
Baxendell, J., Observations of variable stars, edited by H. H. Turner and Mary A. Blagg: Fifth instalment, No. 13, T Herculis; No. 14, R Leonis; No. 15, S Orionis 158
——————— Sixth instalment, No. 13, T Herculis (revised); No. 16, R Persei 469
——————— Seventh instalment, No. 17, R Sagittæ; No. 18, R Serpentis; No. 19, T Serpentis 659
Bellamy, F. A., On the accuracy of Hagen's Chart of T Herculis, and on a possible new variable star 98
——————— Eighth note on the number of faint stars with large proper motions 102
——————— and J. D. McNeile, Tenth note on the number of faint stars with large proper motions. Zones $+25°$, $+27°$, and $+29°$ 538
Bolton, Scriven, The surface currents of Jupiter during the apparition of 1915–16 634

PAGE

Broadbent, F. J., A method for calculating longitude from observations on the moon and moon-culminating stars..... 442
Brown, A. N., Observations of V Cassiopeiæ (Ch. 8324) in 1910–16..... 606
Butler, C. P., H. F. Newall, F. E. Baxandall and, On the identity of Fraunhofer's group G in the solar spectrum with the hydrocarbon band 4314..... 640
Bye-Laws, Amendments to the, agreed to by Annual General Meeting... 249

Cambridge Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 301
Cambridge University, Solar Physics Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 303
Candidates proposed 1, 69, 195, 250, 377, 447, 551, 639
Cape of Good Hope, Royal Observatory, Report of the Proceedings of the 296
Chambers, G. F., Obituary notice of..... 258
Charlier, C. V. L., The galaxy of the B-stars..... 589
Comets: —
1915 *a* (Mellish), Provisional orbit elements, C. J. Merfield..... 22
——————— Note on, 1915 October 4, F. Henroteau..... 90
Council note on comets in 1915..... 333
Coronium atom, On the nature of the, J. W. Nicholson..... 415
Cortie, Rev. A. L., The efficiency of sun-spots in relation to terrestrial magnetic disturbances..... 15
——————— The efficiency of sun-spots in relation to the mean daily range of terrestrial magnetic declination..... 631
Craig, J. I., A new method of discovering periodicities..... 493

Dale, J. B., Note on his method of periodic analysis, H. C. Plummer.... 60
——————— The poles of the planetary orbits..... 641
Defforges, Col. G., Obituary notice of..... 289
Denning, W. F., Real paths of 252 fireballs and shooting stars observed in the British Isles during the four years 1912 to 1915 inclusive 219
——————— Remarkable Meteoric shower on [1916] June 28..... 740
Doolittle, E., Preliminary paper on recent lists of new double stars..... 204
Dreyer, J. L. E., The Gold Medal of the Society awarded to him for his contributions to Astronomical history and his catalogues of nebulæ..... 249, 257, 368
Dugan, R. S., An inequality in the period of the eclipsing variable RZ Cassiopeiæ..... 729
Dunsink Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 304
Durham University Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 305

Earth, Shape of the, Some questions relating to, suggested by Mr. Harold Jeffreys' paper "Certain hypotheses as to the structure of the Earth and the Moon," A. R. Hinks..... 8
——————— Reply by Mr. Jeffreys.. 86
——— Free precession of the, Viscosity in relation to, Sir J. Larmor..... 14
——— On the Viscosity of the, Second paper, H. Jeffreys..... 84
Eddington, A. S., The dynamics of a stellar system. Third paper: Oblate and other distributions..... 37
——————— The kinetic energy of a star cluster..... 525
——————— Introduction to H. W. Unthank's paper on the mean parallax of stars for different magnitudes..... 529
——————— The distribution of stars in globular clusters..... 572
Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 299
Einstein's theory of gravitation and its astronomical consequences, W. de Sitter..... 699
Errata..... 68, 743
Espin, Rev. T. E., New double stars..... 210
——————— Report of his Observatory (Wolsingham)..... 313

Fellows elected..... 1, 69, 195, 250, 377, 447, 551, 639
Fowler, A., Note on lines of Silicon in the spectra of B stars 196
Franks, W. S., Micrometrical measures of 400 double stars 24

PAGE

Gifts to the general funds of the Society 254
Glasgow Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 305
Gold Medal of the Society, awarded to Dr. J. L. E. Dreyer for his contributions to astronomical history and his catalogues of nebulæ.....249, 257, 368
Gravitation, Einstein's theory of, and its astronomical consequences, W. de Sitter..... 699
Greenwich, Royal Observatory, The R-D discordance of the Greenwich transit circle..... 213
——————— The Greenwich catalogues of fundamental and zone stars for 1910..... 214
——————— Observations of occultations of stars by the Moon, and of the star Lalande 45880 by Jupiter, made in the year 1915 217
——————— Report of the proceedings of the..... 293
——————— Mean areas and heliographic latitudes of sun-spots in the year 1914..... 400
——————— Stars with large proper motions between declination 65° and the north pole..... 585
——————— Preliminary values of the variation of latitude at Greenwich for 1915..... 739

Harris, C. H., Obituary notice of..... 259
Hederstedt, H. B., Obituary notice of..... 260
Helwân Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 316
Henroteau, F., On convection currents in high regions of the solar atmosphere..... 18
——————— Note on Comet Mellish (1915 *a*), 1915 October 4..... 90
——————— Some remarks on the formation of sun-spots..... 404
Hill's Equation, Notes on the general solution of, E. Lindsay Ince..... 431
Hinks, A. R., Some questions relating to the shape of the Earth, suggested by Mr. Harold Jeffreys' paper "Certain hypotheses as to the structure of the Earth and the Moon," Memoirs of the Royal Astronomical Society, vol. lx. part vi..... 8
——————— Reply to the above paper, H. Jeffreys..... 86
Hirst, G. D., Obituary notice of..... 261
Huggins, Margaret Lindsay, Obituary notice of 278
Hyderabad, Nizamiah Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 317

Ince, E. Lindsay, Notes on the general solution of Hill's equation..... 431

Jeans, J. H., On the theory of star-streaming and the structure of the universe 70
——————— Second paper..... 552
——————— On the law of distribution in star-clusters..... 567
Jeffreys, H., Some questions relating to the shape of the Earth, suggested by his paper "Certain hypotheses as to the structure of the Earth and the Moon," A. R. Hinks..... 8
——————— The figure of the Earth: a reply to Mr. Hinks 86
——————— The viscosity of the Earth: Second paper..... 84
——————— Causes contributory to the annual variation of latitude. ... 499
Johannesburg, Union Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the 329
Jukes, Joshua, Obituary notice of..... 261
Jupiter, Occultation of the star Lalande 45880 by, observed at the Royal Observatory, Greenwich..... 217
——— Recent observations of, F. Sargent..... 411
——— The longitude of the satellites of, from photographs taken at the Cape Observatory in 1913, W. de Sitter..... 448
——— The surface currents of, during the apparition of 1915–16, S. Bolton..... 634

PAGE

Kerr, Richard, Obituary notice of 261
King, A., Catalogue of radiant-points of shooting stars, 1898–1915..... 542
Kodaikánal and Madras Observatories, Report of the proceedings of the, 318

Larmor, Sir J., Viscosity in relation to the Earth's free precession..... 14
Latitude, Annual Variation of, Causes contributory to the, H. Jeffreys... 499
——————— Preliminary values of the, at Greenwich for 1915..... 739
Library of the Society, list of donors to the..... 364
Liverpool Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 305
Longitude, A method for calculating, from observations on the moon and moon-culminating stars, F. J. Broadbent..... 442
Lord, H. C., The illumination of the field of a photographic objective, 197, 636

McNeile, J. D., F. A. Bellamy and, Tenth note on the number of faint stars with large proper motions..... 538

Magnetic disturbances, terrestrial, The efficiency of sun-spots in relation to, Rev. A. L. Cortie..... 15
——————— (1904–1913), and their association with the solar rotation, E. W. Maunder..... 63
——————— The efficiency of sun-spots in relation to the mean daily range of magnetic declination, Rev. A. L. Cortie..... 631
Major Planets, Remark on the orbital planes of the, H. C. Plummer..... 386
——————— The poles of the planetary orbits, J. B. Dale..... 641
Mars, On the question of objective change on the surface of, E. M. Antoniadi..... 413
——— On a probable relation between the changes in solar radiation and the melting of the polar snow caps, E. M. Antoniadi..... 643
Martin, C., and H. C. Plummer, Three variable stars in Lacerta..... 240
——————— The eclipsing binary TT Aurigæ 395
——————— The irregular variable RS Camelopardalis..... 612
Maunder, E. W., Magnetic disturbances (1904–1913) as recorded at the Royal Observatory, Greenwich, and their association with the solar rotation. Fourth paper..... 63
Melbourne Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 323
Meldola, Raphael, Obituary notice of..... 262
Merfield, C. J., Provisional orbit elements, Comet 1915 *a* (Mellish)..... 22
Meteors: Real paths of 252 fireballs and shooting stars observed in the British Isles during the four years 1912 to 1915 inclusive, W. F. Denning..... 219
——— Council note on observation of, in 1915..... 355
——— A note on bright, H. C. Plummer..... 390
——— Catalogue of radiant-points, 1898–1915, A. King..... 542
——— Remarkable meteoric shower on [1916] June 28, W. F. Denning 740
Minor Planets, Council note on the discovery of, in 1915..... 332
——————— Statistics of the, H. C. Plummer..... 378
Monck, W. H. S., Obituary notice of..... 264

Nebulæ and Clusters:—
Measures of small stars in the Dumbbell Nebula, E. E. Barnard..... 90
Note on the nebulæ and star-clusters shown on the Franklin-Adams plates, H. Knox Shaw 105
The distribution of stars in globular clusters, H. C. Plummer..... 107
The kinetic energy of a star-cluster, A. S. Eddington..... 525
The distribution of stars in globular clusters, A. S. Eddington 572
On the law of distribution in star-clusters, J. H. Jeans 567
The variable nebula in Corona Australis (N.G.C. 6729), J. H. Reynolds 645
Note on the variable nebula in Corona Australis, H. Knox Shaw 646
The nebula H II. 78 Leonis, Mrs Isaac Roberts 647

PAGE

Newall, H. F., F. E. Baxandall and C. P. Butler, On the identity of Fraunhofer's group G in the solar spectrum with the hydrocarbon band 4314. Preliminary note..... 640
Newbegin, A. M., Report of his Observatory 313
Newbegin, G. J., Report of his Observatory..... 314
——————— Solar prominences, 1915..... 630
Nicholson, J. W., The nature of the Coronium atom..... 415
Noble, Sir A., Obituary notice of..... 266

Obituary Notices: Associates:—
Albrecht, Carl Theodor..... 282
Auwers, Georg Friedrich Julius Arthur..... 284
Defforges, Gilbert 289
Obituary Notices: Fellows:—
Chambers, George Frederick 258
Harris, Charles Hope..... 259
Hederstedt, Henry Burdett..... 260
Hirst, George Denton..... 261
Jukes, Joshua..... 261
Kerr, Richard..... 261
Meldola, Raphael..... 262
Monck, William Henry Stanley..... 264
Noble, Sir Andrew..... 266
Ottway, William..... 267
Owens, Ernest William..... 268
Simms, James 268
Tanner, Henry William Lloyd..... 271
Tennant, James Francis..... 272
Ward, Michael Foster 276
Willett, William..... 277
Woodrow, John 278
Obituary Notice: Honorary Member:—
Huggins, Margaret Lindsay..... 278
Observatories, Work at various: see reports of individual Observatories (or observers) under their respective headings.
Officers and Council, List of, 1916–17..... 376
Ottawa, Dominion Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 319
Ottway, William, Obituary notice of..... 267
Owens, E. W., Obituary notice of..... 268
Oxford, Radcliffe Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 306
——— University Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 308

Paisley, Coats Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 310
Peek, Sir Wilfrid, Report of his Observatory (Rousdon)..... 314
Periodic Analysis, Note on Prof. Dale's method of, H. C. Plummer..... 60
Periodicities, A new method of discovering, J. I. Craig..... 493
Perth Observatory, Western Australia, Report of the proceedings of the 327
Phillips, Rev. T. E. R., Report of his Observatory..... 315
——————————— Micrometrical measures of double stars..... 655
Photographic objective, The illumination of the field of a, H. C. Lord 197, 636
Photographs, celestial, reproduced by the Society, Additions to the list.. 257
Planetary orbits, The poles of the, J. B. Dale..... 641
Plummer, H. C., Note on Professor Dale's method of periodic analysis... 60
——————— The distribution of stars in globular clusters..... 107
——————— On the motions and distances of the bright stars of type F (Stellar motions, No. 7)..... 121
——————— Statistics of the Minor Planets; with a remark on the orbital planes of the Major planets..... 378
——————— A note on bright meteors..... 390
——————— Note on general precession..... 627
——————— C. Martin and, Three variable stars in Lacerta..... 240
——————————— The eclipsing binary TT Aurigæ..... 395

PAGE

Plummer, H.C., C. Martin and, The irregular variable RS Camelopardalis 612
Pocock, R. J., Comparison of the Bordeaux, Washington, and Algiers Catalogues..... 190
———— The distribution in space of the stars in zone +25° of the Oxford Astrographic Catalogue..... 421
———— Ninth note on the number of faint stars with large proper motions..... 428
———— Eleventh note on the number of faint stars with large proper motions..... 657
Precession, general, note on, H. C. Plummer..... 627
Presents announced..... 2, 69, 196, 377, 447, 551, 639
Progress and present state of the Society 251
Publications of the Society..... 257

R–D discordance, the, of the Greenwich Transit Circle 213
Report of the Council to the ninety-sixth Annual General Meeting of the Society..... 251
Reynolds, J. H., Report of his Observatory 315
———— The variable nebula in Corona Australis (N.G.C. 6729) 645
Rigge, Rev. W. F., Note by the Secretaries on his paper on the solar eclipse of 1916 December 24 (vol. lxxv. p. 687)..... 2
Roberts, A. W., Report of his Observatory (Lovedale, S. Africa)..... 331
Roberts, Mrs Isaac, The nebula H II. 78 Leonis..... 647
Rugby, Temple Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 310

Salcombe Regis, Hill Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 310
Sampson, R. A., Address on presenting the Gold Medal of the Society to Dr. J. L. E. Dreyer..... 368
Sargent, F., Report of his Observatory..... 315
———— Recent observations of Jupiter..... 411
Shaw, H. Knox, Note on the nebulæ and star-clusters shown on the Franklin-Adams plates..... 105
———— Note on the variable nebula in Corona Australis..... 646
Simms, James, Obituary notice of..... 268
Sitter, W. de, The longitudes of Jupiter's satellites, derived from photographic plates taken at the Cape Observatory in the year 1913.. 448
———— On Einstein's theory of gravitation, and its astronomical consequences 699
South Kensington, Imperial College Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the 312
Stars, Distribution and Drift of: The dynamics of a stellar system. Third paper: oblate and other distributions, A. S. Eddington.. 37
———— On the theory of star-streaming and the structure of the universe, J. H. Jeans..... 70, 552
———— ———— Council note on..... 348
———— The distribution in space of the stars in zone +25° of the Oxford Astrographic Catalogue, R. J. Pocock 421
———— The galaxy of the B-stars, C. V. L. Charlier..... 589
Stars, Double and Multiple, Micrometrical measures of 400 double stars, W. S. Franks..... 24
———— Measures of southern binary stars, J. Tebbutt..... 36
———— Preliminary paper on recent lists of new double stars, E. Doolittle..... 204
———— New double stars, Rev. T. E. Espin..... 210
———— Council note on, 1915..... 345
———— The eclipsing binary TT Aurigæ, C. Martin and H. C. Plummer..... 395
———— Observations of the double star Krueger 60 (Burnham Gen. Cat. 11761), E. E. Barnard..... 592

PAGE

Stars, Double and Multiple, Micrometrical measures of double stars, Rev. T. E. R. Phillips..... 655
Stars, Fundamental and Zone, the Greenwich Catalogues of, for 1910... 214
Stars, Magnitudes of, A proposal for the comparison of the magnitude scales of the Astrographic Catalogue, H. H. Turner :—
———————— Eighth note, the Cape magnitudes for −42°..... 2
———————— Ninth note, the Toulouse magnitudes, the Cape magnitudes, and further remarks on the obscured patch as a spiral..... 149
Stars, Mean parallax of, for different magnitudes, a determination of the, H. W. Unthank (with introduction by A. S. Eddington)... 529
Stars, Motions and Distances: On the number of faint stars with large proper motions. Eighth note, F. A. Bellamy..... 102
———————— Ninth note, R. J. Pocock..... 428
———————— Tenth note, F. A. Bellamy and J. D. McNeile..... 538
———————— Eleventh note, R. J. Pocock..... 657
———————— On the motions and distances of the bright stars of type F (Stellar motions, No. 7) H. C. Plummer.. 121
———————— Table of stellar motions in equatorial co-ordinates and other data for stars of type F, O. R. Walkey... 136
———————— Stars with large proper motions between declination 65° and the north pole, determined at the Royal Observatory, Greenwich..... 585
Stars, Occultations of, by the Moon, Observed at the Royal Observatory, Greenwich in the year 1915..... 217
———————— Observed at the University Observatory, Warsaw, in 1914–15, S. D. Tscherny..... 218
Stars, Spectra of: Note on lines of Silicon in the spectra of B-stars, A. Fowler..... 196
———————— Council note on stellar spectroscopy in 1915..... 357
———————— The spectrum of γ Argus, W. M. Worssell..... 418
Stars, Variable: Measures of the positions of faint comparison stars near the variable R Cygni, E. E. Barnard..... 95
———————— On the accuracy of Hagen's Chart of T Herculis, and on a possible new variable star, F. A. Bellamy..... 98
———————— Bäxendell's observations, edited by H. H. Turner and M. A. Blagg: No. 13, T Herculis; No. 14, R Leonis; No. 15, S Orionis..... 158
———————— No. 13, T Herculis, revised; No. 16, R Persei... 469
———————— No. 17, R Sagittæ; No. 18, R Serpentis; No. 19, T Serpentis..... 659
———————— Three variable stars in Lacerta, C. Martin and H. C. Plummer..... 240
———————— Observations of V Cassiopeiæ (Ch. 8324) in 1910–16, A. N. Brown..... 606
———————— The irregular variable RS Camelopardalis, C. Martin and H. C. Plummer..... 612
———————— An inequality in the period of the eclipsing variable RZ Cassiopeiæ, R. S. Dugan..... 729
Steavenson, W. H., Report of his Observatory..... 316
Stellar System, The dynamics of a. Third paper: oblate and other distributions, A. S. Eddington..... 37
Stonyhurst College Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 312
Sun, Chromosphere and Prominences:—
Council note on solar activity in 1915..... 337
———————— on solar research in 1915..... 340
Solar prominences, 1915, G. J. Newbegin..... 630
Sun, Eclipse of, 1916 December 24, Note by the Secretaries on Father Rigge's paper (vol. lxxv. p. 687)..... 2
———————— 1917 December 13 :—
The solar eclipse of 1917 December 13, C. T. Whitmell..... 408

PAGE

Sun, Spectrum of the: On the identity of Fraunhofer's group G with the hydrocarbon band 4314, H. F. Newall, F. E. Baxandall and C. P. Butler..... 640
Sun-spots and Faculæ: The efficiency of sun-spots in relation to terrestrial magnetic disturbances, Rev. A. L. Cortie..... 15
——————— On convection currents in high regions of the solar atmosphere, F. Henroteau..... 18
——————— Council note on solar activity in 1915..... 337
——————— solar research in 1915..... 340
——————— Mean areas and heliographic latitudes of sun-spots in the year 1914, Royal Observatory, Greenwich..... 400
——————— Some remarks on the formation of sun-spots, F. Henroteau..... 404
——————— The efficiency of sun-spots in relation to the mean daily range of magnetic declination, Rev. A. L. Cortie.... 631
Sydney Observatory, Report of the proceedings of the..... 328

Tanner, H. W. Ll., Obituary notice of..... 271
Tebbutt, J., Measures of southern binary stars..... 36
Tennant, Gen. J. F., Obituary notice of..... 272
Treasurer's account for 1915..... 252
Trust Funds..... 255
Tscherny, S. D., Occultations of stars by the Moon observed at the University Observatory, Warsaw, in the years 1914–15..... 218
Turner, H. H., A proposal for the comparison of the magnitude scales of the Astrographic Catalogue. Eighth note: the Cape magnitudes for $-42°$..... 2
——————— Ninth note: the Toulouse magnitudes; the Cape magnitudes, and further remarks on the obscured patch as a spiral.... 149
——————— and Mary A. Blagg, Baxendell's observations of variable stars.....158, 469, 659

Unthank, H. W., A determination of the mean parallax of stars for different magnitudes (with an introduction by A. S. Eddington) 529

Walkey, Rev. O. R., Table of stellar motions in equatorial co-ordinates and other data for stars of type F..... 136
Ward, Col. M. F., Obituary notice of..... 276
Wesley, W. H., Presentation of testimonial to..... 249
Whitmell, C. T., The solar eclipse of 1917 December 13..... 408
Willett, W., Obituary notice of..... 277
Woodrow, J., Obituary notice of..... 278
Worssell, W. M., The spectrum of γ Argûs..... 418

MONTHLY NOTICES

OF THE

ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY.

VOL. LXXVI. No. 1. NOVEMBER 1915.

PUBLISHED BY THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY,
BURLINGTON HOUSE, LONDON, W.,

AND SOLD BY

WILLIAM WESLEY & SON, 28 ESSEX STREET,
STRAND, LONDON, W.C.

PRICE TO NON-FELLOWS, 2*s.* 6*d.*

ANNOUNCEMENT OF PAPERS RECEIVED.

Post-cards, giving the titles of such Papers as have been received or promised up to the Tuesday preceding the day of the Ordinary Meeting, will be sent to those Fellows who inform the Assistant Secretary of their wish to receive them.

MEMOIRS.

Vol. LX., Part V. (P. J. Melotte, Catalogue of Star Clusters shown on Franklin-Adams Chart Plates).

Vol. LX., Part VI. (H. Jeffreys, Certain Hypotheses as to the Internal Structure of the Earth and Moon).

General Index to the Memoirs, Volumes XXXIX. to LX. (1871 to 1915).

The above are now ready, and are supplied free to Fellows on application to the Assistant Secretary.

They may be obtained by non-Fellows from William Wesley & Son, 28 Essex Street, London, W.C. Prices: Memoirs, Vol. LX., Part V., 1s. 6d.; Part VI., 3s.; General Index, 1s.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
Fellow elected	1
Candidates proposed	*ib.*
Presents announced	2
Note by the Secretaries on Father Rigge's paper on the Solar Eclipse of 1916 December 24 (vol. lxxv. p. 687)	*ib.*
H. H. Turner, A proposal for the comparison of the Magnitude Scales of the Astrographic Catalogue. Eighth Note: the Cape Magnitudes for $-42°$	*ib.*
A. R. Hinks, Some questions relating to the shape of the Earth, suggested by Mr. Harold Jeffreys' paper "Certain hypotheses as to the structure of the Earth and the Moon"	8
Sir Joseph Larmor, Viscosity in relation to the Earth's free Precession	14
Rev. A. L. Cortie, The efficiency of Sun-spots in relation to terrestrial Magnetic Disturbances	15
F. Henroteau, On Convection Currents in high regions of the solar atmosphere	18
C. J. Merfield, Provisional Orbit Elements, Comet 1915*a* (Mellish)	22
W. S. Franks, Micrometrical Measures of 400 Double Stars	24
John Tebbutt, Measures of Southern Binary Stars	36
A. S. Eddington, The Dynamics of a Stellar System. Third Paper: Oblate and other distributions	37
H. C. Plummer, Note on Professor Dale's method of Periodic Analysis	60
E. W. Maunder, Magnetic Disturbances (1904–1913) as recorded at the Royal Observatory, Greenwich, and their association with the Solar Rotation	63
Erratum	68

Printed by NEILL & Co., LTD., Bellevue, Edinburgh, December 1915.